

The Great Judicial Rebellion: Unelected Federal Judges Defy Trump's Mandate and Violate Ethical Canons

By Anonymous Patriots, April 25, 2025.



Figure 1: On July 3, 2012, after joking about heading to Malta to escape criticism of his deciding vote FOR Obamacare, the U.S. Supreme Court's Chief Justice John Roberts arrived at the Mediterranean island of Malta on Tuesday, where he will be teaching a class for two weeks. (*Daily Mail UK*, AP Photo/Lino Arrigo Azzopardi).

In a shocking betrayal of American sovereignty, a cabal of unelected federal judges has unleashed a coordinated assault on the will of the people, brazenly obstructing President Donald J. Trump's overwhelming mandate to dismantle the lawless immigration programs of the defeated Democrats. Re-elected on November 5, 2024, with a historic landslide victory as a resounding rejection of open-border chaos—Trump was entrusted to secure the nation's borders and restore law and order. Yet, in the mere weeks since his inauguration on January 20, 2025, a cadre of activist judges, cloaked in the robes of impartiality, has conspired to thwart his every action. This is no mere judicial oversight; it is a deliberate, unethical, and probably impeachable violation of the [The Code of Conduct for United States Judges](#), orchestrated to prop up the failed

policies of their evident Democrat sponsors who were crushed at the ballot box. At the heart of this scandal stands Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., whose dereliction of duty—tainted by foreign allegiances, his wife's ties to globalist elitists, and a sinister plot involving the Inns of Court—has allowed this conspiracy to flourish, threatening the very foundations of our republic.



Figure 2: Press Release. (March 11, 2020). Estonia and Sovereign Order of Malta establish diplomatic relations. Order of Malta. [Estonia was involved in helping create the **Christopher Steele "Dirty Dossier"** that was used to try and organize a coup d'état against U.S. President Donald Trump].

A Coordinated Attack on Trump's Mandate

The evidence is undeniable and chilling. From April 11 to April 25, 2025, federal judges across the nation have issued a relentless barrage of rulings to sabotage Trump's immigration reforms, each decision dripping with political venom. **U.S. District Judge William Orrick in San Francisco** blocked Trump's order to withhold funding from sanctuary cities on April 24, 2025, shielding lawless jurisdictions that openly defy federal immigration enforcement. **Judges Charlotte Sweeney in Denver and Alvin Hellerstein in Manhattan** halted deportations of Venezuelan migrants under the Alien Enemies Act, demanding excessive due process for alleged gang members tied to Tren de Aragua. **U.S. District Judge James Boasberg in Washington, D.C.**, the notorious FISA Court chief judge, threatened contempt over deportation flights, accusing the administration of "willful disregard" of his prior orders. Other judges struck down Trump's voter ID requirements, anti-DEI guidance, USAID funding cuts, and transgender military ban, all within a two-week window. The synchronized timing and ideological uniformity of these rulings cannot be dismissed as coincidence—they scream of a sinister conspiracy among unelected judges to undermine a president elected by an overwhelming majority of Americans.

Rogues in the current judicial coup attempt against the American Republic			
			
U.S. District Judge William Orrick in San Francisco.	U.S. District Judge Charlotte Sweeney in Denver.	Alvin Hellerstein in Manhattan, making the 666 sign—the Mark of the Beast.	U.S. District Judge James Boasberg in Washington, D.C.

This pattern exposes a judicial cabal acting as a shadow government, defying the American people’s mandate. Trump’s landslide victory was a clarion call to end the Democrat-driven immigration chaos—sanctuary cities, unchecked borders, and dangerous deportee protections. Yet, these judges, unaccountable to voters, are trying to nullify the electorate’s choice, serving not the Constitution but the political machine that lost in a historic rout.

The Code of Conduct: A Shield Trampled

[The Code of Conduct for United States Judges](#), enshrined to protect judicial integrity, explicitly forbids such treachery. **Canon 1** demands that judges “uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary,” maintaining an impartial stance free from external influence. **Canon 2** commands judges to “avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all activities,” a directive these judges have obliterated with reckless abandon. By issuing near-identical rulings in rapid succession, judges like Orrick, Sweeney, Hellerstein, and Boasberg create a glaring appearance of coordination—if not outright conspiracy—to undermine Trump’s agenda. **Canon 3** requires judges to “perform the duties of the office fairly and impartially,” yet their rulings consistently prop up Democrat-aligned policies, betraying a bias that reeks of partisan sponsorship.

The Code is unequivocal: judges must not only be impartial but must appear impartial to the public. When these judges repeatedly block Trump’s orders—often with nationwide injunctions that overstep their jurisdiction—they fuel suspicion of a partisan agenda orchestrated to thwart the will of the people. Their actions violate the ethical canons, eroding public trust in the judiciary and inviting accusations of impropriety. Such grievous breaches are not mere oversights; they are impeachable offenses, as they undermine the separation of powers and defy the democratic process that elected Trump by an overwhelming mandate.

Chief Justice Roberts: The Derelict Overseer with Divided Loyalties

At the apex of this judicial travesty stands **Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.**, whose failure to enforce judicial impartiality is nothing short of a dereliction of duty—compounded by shocking allegations of divided loyalties, his wife’s ties to globalist elites, and a clandestine network of

legal elites conspiring through the Inns of Court to advance a pro-British agenda. As head of the Judicial Conference of the United States and the Supreme Court, Roberts is tasked with overseeing the federal judiciary’s adherence to the Code of Conduct, available at the [U.S. Courts website](#). Yet, under his watch, federal judges have run amok, issuing rulings that align suspiciously with the Democrat and British agendas, unchecked by any meaningful oversight. His inaction is not merely negligent; it is a betrayal of the American people, made all the more egregious by a bombshell complaint in a 2020 Supreme Court case (*Arunachalam v. Lyft, Inc.*, Case No. 19-8029) and a century-old exposé of the [British Pilgrims Society’s plot to re-annex America to British rule](#). See March 14, 2001 [Judicial Conference](#) convoluted new rules relaxing mutual fund reporting requirements so judges can hide their corporate holdings inside conspiring mutual funds.

The *Arunachalam v Lyft* complaint exposed Roberts’ alleged membership in the Knights of Malta, English Priory, a secretive order tied to the British monarch, overseen by the Princes of Wales. The complaint alleged this created a profound conflict of interest, raising questions about whether his true allegiance lies with the U.S. Constitution or the British Crown. The docket states that Roberts’ recusal on May 18 and June 29, 2020, was an “admission” of this conflict, claiming he “engaged in conflict of interest against inventors as a member of the Knights of Malta with fealty to the Queen of England who controls SERCO and QinetiQ Group Plc, both British companies, in services that prejudice the inventor’s patent properties.” The complaint argued that these companies, controlled by a “Special Share” held by the British Crown, manage the U.S. Patent Office, undermining American inventors’ rights. The complaint tied the Knights of Malta to the City of London’s financial networks, historically linked to the Jesuits and Rothschild banking family, amplifying the narrative of foreign influence.

The same complaint revealed Jane Sullivan Roberts’ entanglements with over one hundred globalist clients, listed on [PDF pages 49–63](#) (Appendices 10A–24A), from her time at Major, Lindsey & Africa, before she became Managing Partner at Macrae. These include law firms like Allen & Overy, Clifford Chance, and Linklaters, and corporations like Google, Microsoft, JPMorgan Chase, and Goldman Sachs, many with ties to London’s financial hub. The complaint alleged these clients are aligned with City of London banks (e.g., Barclays, HSBC, Lloyds) and companies (e.g., BP, GlaxoSmithKline), creating a web of influence that compromises Roberts’ impartiality. While the docket does not confirm direct funding, Jane’s transatlantic work and client list suggest relationship interlocks to globalist elitists, echoing concerns about her \$10 million in commissions from 2007 to 2014.



Figure 3: Combined arms of the four Inns of Court. Clockwise from top left: Lincoln’s Inn, Middle Temple, Gray’s Inn, Inner Temple. The four inns are located near one another in central London, near the western boundary of the City of London.

This scandal is deepened by a 1912 exposé by investigative journalist [Lillian Scott Troy](#), republished in

Congress in 1940 by Hon. Jacob Thorkelson, detailing the Pilgrims Society’s 24-step plan to return America to British rule. The plan, funded by figures like Andrew Carnegie, J.P. Morgan, and J.D. Rockefeller, aimed to subvert American institutions, including the Supreme Court, to favor British interests. A key mechanism was the influence of the Inns of Court in the City of London (Lincoln's Inn, Inner Temple, Middle Temple and Gray's Inn), described as a historic hub of British legal power for the Knights of Malta and linked to Jesuit secrecy practices and Rothschilds. The *Arunachalam v. Lyft* docket notes that American courts take instruction from the Inns of Court, and the American Inns of Court, established as “copycats,” serve as an exclusive club of lawyers and judges conspiring to advance this agenda. The Pilgrims Society’s 24-point strategy included revising the Supreme Court to include only judges “agreeable to absorption by Great Britain” and establishing precedents against the U.S. Senate, ensuring a pro-British judiciary. Roberts’ alleged Knights of Malta membership and his wife’s City of London ties align chillingly with this plan, suggesting he is a linchpin in a century-long conspiracy to undermine American sovereignty.

Roberts’ recusal in *Arunachalam v. Lyft* validates these claims, as the docket argues it proves the “facts and the law are on [Arunachalam’s] side.” The recusal of six other justices in a related case (No. 18-9383) hints at a broader cover-up. His failure to address these conflicts sets a “horrible precedent that judges may maintain conflicts of interest,” violating Canon 2. The Inns of Court connection, as alleged in the Pilgrims Society plan, implicates Roberts in a network of legal elitists working to ensure a pro-British Supreme Court, betraying his oath and enabling the 2025 judicial conspiracy against Trump.



Figure 4: Queen Elizabeth in her Knights of Malta, English Priory regalia.

The Stakes: American Republic and Sovereignty Under Siege

The implications are chilling. Trump’s landslide victory was a clarion call to end the Democrat-driven immigration chaos—sanctuary cities, unchecked borders, and dangerous deportee protections. Yet, unelected judges, insulated from accountability, are trying to nullify the people’s choice. Their coordinated rulings, in defiance of the Code of Conduct, reveal a judiciary captured by partisan and foreign interests, willing to sacrifice ethics for politics. The Inns of Court’s influence, as exposed by Lillian Scott Troy, underscores a deeper plot to align the judiciary with British interests, with Roberts as a key figure. The appearance of impropriety is not just a violation of the Code of Conduct; it is a betrayal of the American people, who deserve a judiciary loyal to their vote and nation’s sovereignty.

This conspiracy demands immediate action. Congress must investigate these judges for ethical breaches and consider impeachment. The Judicial Conference must probe the Inns of Court's influence and Roberts' foreign ties, as they undermine judicial legitimacy. Above all, Roberts must be held accountable for his dereliction and compromised loyalties, as his role in the Pilgrims Society's plan threatens American independence.

The authors are cognizant of the fact that while they have called for investigations, the challenge will be to find investigators, preferably not legal professionals, who are not themselves tainted by this widespread legal corruption.

Conclusion: A Call to Restore Justice and Sovereignty

The American people spoke on November 5, 2024, delivering President Trump an overwhelming mandate to secure the nation's borders and dismantle the Democrats' lawless immigration policies. Yet, a cabal of unelected federal judges, "white show" law firms, clerks, and staff attorneys, in flagrant violation of the Code of Conduct, have conspired to block his every step, defying the will of the electorate. Their actions, marked by impropriety and bias, are impeachable. Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., bears ultimate responsibility for this judicial rebellion, his dereliction compounded by his admitted Knights of Malta conflict, his wife's ties to over one hundred City of London clients, and his role in the Inns of Court's pro-British conspiracy, as exposed in *Arunachalam v. Lyft, Inc.* and the Pilgrims Society's 24-point plan to annex America.

The time for complacency is over. The judiciary must be held to account, and the Code of Conduct enforced without fear or favor. If we allow unelected judges and a compromised Chief Justice to undermine a duly elected president, we risk losing our republic and sovereignty to foreign and globalist forces. The American people deserve justice and a judiciary loyal to the Constitution, not to foreign crowns or international elitists.

Notices: Share with attribution. Opinions are for discussion, not reliance—verify independently. For educational use under Fair Use. No third-party property claims intended. Errors will be corrected with notice.