J.J. Johnson. (Jun. 21, 2025). CORRUPTION: How Big Tech British & American Lawyers Profit From And Fool You Into Giving Up Your Constitutional Rights To Privacy And Property. Westview News. Source: https://westviewnews.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/WestView-News_JUNE_2024.pdf#page=12 For educational purposes only. Fair Use relied upon.

How Big Tech British & American Lawyers Profit From And Fool You Into Giving Up Your Constitutional Rights To Privacy And Property

By J.J. Johnson

Few people—as in almost nobody—stop to read the End User License Agreement ("EULA") before clicking "I accept" to install new software.

New bombshell research is uncovering millennia-buried histories of a synergic tie between bankers and lawyers that emerged after the Babylonian Hammurabi Code (ca. 1810-1750 B.C.) ratified usury. For context, the Hebrew Prophets, Christian Church, and Islam have all condemned usury as debt slavery.

Lawyers in lockstep use EULAs to cajole users into giving up their constitutional rights to privacy and property unwittingly.

Even if attorneys don't commit the constitution-destroying acts personally, they assume the guilt when they remain silent about this threat to the Republic by their colleagues.

Lawyers are the least trusted profession for a reason: they ultimately work for British, not American interests as will be shown.



According to *Insider Money* (July 21, 2023), lawyers rate in the Top 5 least trusted professions. But, a closer look at the top five: (1) politicians, (2) telemarketers, (3) lobbyists, (4) real estate agents, (5) lawyers, reveals that lawyers must be catapulted to the #1 least trusted because they dominate three of the other five least trusted categories also, namely politics, lobbying, and real estate.

As officers of the court, lawyers pledge to do the right thing and not abuse the citizenry with deception and insider trading.

These lawyers each swear an oath to protect the U.S. Constitution. That veneer has cracked in America and Britain to reveal lawyers who are sock puppets for the big banks directed from The City of London, Temple Bar Inns of Court (UK), and their U.S. Federal Reserve proxies.

Anglophile Alexander Hamilton, the future first Treasury Secretary, graduated from law school at King's College (now Columbia University). He was instrumental in arranging the funding of America's first four banks:

1781: Bank of North America
1784: Bank of New York
1791: First Bank of the United States
1799: Manhattan Bank

The funding came mostly from The City of London controlled by the Barings, Rothschilds, and London Assurance Company governed by John Barker—the largest insurer of trading ships in the world, larger than Lloyd's of London. Barker was uncle to George Washington's Commissary General John Barker Church who supplied both American and French troops, while the Rothschilds were bankrolling the British and Hessian troops. In short, The City of London was financing both sides of the American Revolutionary War. In short, the entire world was victimized by these British Radknight banks and their lawyers. A better definition of evil cannot be imagined.

Hamilton became America's first Treasury Secretary. Church became a director and leading shareholder of Hamilton's banks and became the richest man in New York.

Hamilton was raised as a British Caribbean merchant-banking opium-slaver moored in New York at Crugers Wharf (now Pier 11).

Recently discovered Canadian archives reveal a spy cipher from Canada's spymaster during the Revolutionary War, Sir Colonel George Beckwith. The cipher proves that attorney-banker-soldier-spy Alexander Hamilton was British Spy No. 7. The cipher was not available in the U.S. archives. *See* Canadian Archives, oclc 262476117.

Crugers Wharf (now Pier 11) was a perfect secret communications base for conspiracy with The City of London and British spies in Ottawa.

American Lawyers and Judges swear an oath to defend the ~~Monarchy~~Constitution.

We can prove that these words are met with cynicism and disdain by most lawyers. How?

If you work at a white-shoe firm and learn that your firm is feeding insider trading information to selected people and companies, and you fail to whistle blow, are you not complicit by your silence? The notoriously corrupt reputations of the Southern District of New York, DC Circuit, and the Ninth Circuit in California are cases in point.

If you work for a white-shoe firm that has written a deceptive End User License Agreement, buried in thousands of pages of legalese, that tricks citizens into agreeing to give up their constitutional rights to privacy and property, and you do not whistle blow, are you not complicit by your silence?

American citizens are supposed to be able to rely upon the fidelity and veracity of our officers of the court as regards the U.S. Constitution.

Strip attorneys of their licenses to practice law when they do not report corruption in their firms.

A quick way to stop these criminal attorneys is to take away their licenses to practice law.

In America the privilege to practice law is granted by *We The People*.

A law license is not a right bestowed by a monarch from the Inner Temple on Fleet Street, London, UK, and perpetuated by the American Inns of Court, Pilgrims Society (1902), and Senior Executive Service (SES).

A major grifting system between British and American attorneys is the "Inns of Court." The London branch controls the American branch. The American branch perpetuates the fraud of giving American lawyers the British title "Esquire or Esq." that directly violates Article I, Section 9, Clause 8 of the U.S. Constitution—"No Title of Nobility shall be granted by the United States . . ."

We counted the EULA pages for Facebook, Google, and Sony (1000+ pages each)

Evidently, these "white shoe" lawyers write EULAs that are indecipherable to mere mortals so that we will not read them, and in the process, important constitutional rights are waived by the unsuspecting user— the ultimate "fine print" trick.

Generally, fundamental constitutional rights to privacy and property cannot be waived without clear knowing consent. And some can never be waived, like majority voting, securities and property agreements (statute of frauds), and due process. These abuses are being hidden from the public because white-shoe lawyers are too busy making fortunes by enabling their deep-pocket clients to rig elections, steal property, and harvest data.

Who in the white-shoe law firms is crying foul about these unconstitutional data voyeurs?

The names of these law firms are publicly available, and need to be exposed.

Here are major "big tech" providers of "free" email and messaging services and some of their legal counsels who write these soulless EULAs.

EULAs are silent killers of the U.S. Constitution

This writer has reviewed hundreds of EULAs for almost a decade. While these agreements are generally ignored by the public, we have watched the lawyers who write them increasingly hoodwink the unsuspecting public about important property and privacy rights.

Yahoo! Mail

For example, **Yahoo! Mail** first writes:

"[Y]ou retain ownership of any intellectual property rights that you hold in that content."

Fair enough, you think. And, being the good online skimmer that you are, like most of us, you assume (wrongly) that the rest of the words that follow are consistent with this fundamental right. After all, you do not assume Yahoo! is attempting to steal from you. Right?

So, you stop reading.

The Yahoo! constitutional rights steamroller follows immediately to flatten fundamental principles of privacy and intellectual property:

"…and you grant to us a worldwide, royalty-free, non-exclusive, perpetual, irrevocable, transferable, sublicensable license to (a) use, host, store, reproduce, modify, prepare derivative works (such as translations, adaptations, summaries or other changes), communicate, publish, publicly perform, publicly display, and distribute this content in any manner, mode of delivery or media now known or developed in the future; and (b) permit other users to access, reproduce, distribute, publicly display, prepare derivative works of, and publicly perform your content via the Services, as may be permitted by the functionality of those Services (e.g., for users to re-blog, re-post or download your content)."

We can cite similar wording in the EULAs for all of the "free" messaging services.

Gmail

Gmail writes the same as all the others:

"Your content remains yours, which means that you retain any intellectual property rights that you have in your content."

Then, it unwinds that sound moral principle with legalese that in order to use the service you must give Gmail an irrevocable license to your content to host, reproduce, distribute, communicate, publish, publicly perform, publicly display, modify, create derivative works, reformat, translate, sublicense, share, be subject to the third party deals that Google makes with subcontractors.

Mail.com

Mail.com (now German IONOS SE AG) scrambles these terms so they are even harder to follow, but they say the same thing. Your personally identifiable content is theirs to collect, sell, share, or disclose "for a [undefined] Business Purpose" at their "sole discretion," including providing it to "Partners" including "Double Click, Google Adwords, Bing, Adobe Analytics & Target (Omniture), Google Analytics, Mouseflow, UIM, Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn."

That is right, you agree that Mail.com can give your data to all their "competitors," thus giving each of them deniability of theft.

Mail.com com buries this rapacious statement in Section 9.2:

"all content, images, and materials appearing on this website (collectively the "mail.com Content") are the sole property of mail.com."

Proton Mail

Proton Mail follows the other soulless property and privacy destroying marauders:

"We do not assert any ownership over your Contributions."

BUT then in classical gas lighting mode:

"We have the right, in our sole and absolute discretion, (1) to edit, redact, or otherwise change any Contributions; (2) to recategorize any Contributions to place them in more appropriate locations in the Licensed Application; and (3) to prescreen or delete any Contributions at any time and for any reason, without notice. We have no obligation to monitor your Contributions."

Microsoft, Hotmail, Outlook

Microsoft (Hotmail, Outlook.com) says similarly:

"[Y]ou grant to Microsoft a worldwide and royalty-free intellectual property license to use Your Content, for example, to make copies of, retain, transmit, reformat, display, and distribute via communication tools Your Content on the Services."

Facebook, Meta. Instagram, WhatsApp

Facebook now cleverly buries their theft of your property and privacy in a schmaltzy blizzard of we-care-for-you categories. For example:

"You retain ownership of the intellectual property rights (things like copyright or trademarks) in any such content that you create and share on Facebook and other Meta Company Products you use."

Again, like Yahoo!, this sounds good.

But two paragraphs later, you give it all to Facebook:

". . . you grant us a non-exclusive, transferable, sub-licensable, royalty-free, and worldwide license to host, use, distribute, modify, run, copy, publicly perform or display, translate, and create derivative works of your content."

Laughingly, Facebook writes:

British & American white-shoe law firms who write *privacy-destroying end user license agreements (EULAs)* in lockstep

	Messaging Technology Client	*Attorney Firm*	*No. of Attorneys (est.)*
	Gmail, Google, Alphabet	Dechert LLP	1,000
		Williams & Connolly LLP	400
		Perkins Coie LLP	1,000
		Quinn Emanuel LLP	800
	Apple iCloud Mail	Wilson Sonsini LLP	950
		Fox Rothschild LLP	1,000
	Yahoo! Mail	Skadden Arps LLP	1,700
	Hotmail, Microsoft	Covington Burling LLP	1,300
	AOL	Weil Gotschal LLP	1,118
	Mail.com, IONOS SE	Kirkland Ellis LLP	2,725
		Warburg Pincus Law	
		Alcatel SEL AG Law	
		Inns of Court Law, MI6 (UK, US)	10,000
		Crown Prosecution Service UK	
		CIA Law, DoD, NSA, FBI, DIA	
	NBC Universal, Comcast	Davis Polk LLP	980
	Facebook, Meta	Fenwick & West LLP	478
	Instagram	Cooley Godward LLP	1,072
	WhatsApp	Wilmer Hale LLP	1,055
		Gibson Dunn LLP	1,915
		Latham Watkins LLP	3,078
		White & Case LLP	2,600
		Orrick Herrington LLP	1,000
		Blank Rome LLP	700
		Sullivan Cromwell LLP	792
		Federal Circuit Court of Appeals	50
		U.S. Supreme Court	50
	Oracle iCloud	Sidley Austin LLP	2,300
	David Kappos, *Leader v. Facebook*	Cravath Swaine LLP	492
	USPTO, SERCO (UK), QinetiQ (UK)	IBM Eclipse Fndn, Chandler Law	501
	Proton Mail (Switzerland)	CIA, MI6, Sir Berners-Lee, CERN	1000
	TikTok, Juniper, Kamala Harris	DLA Piper Plc (London UK)	4,255

How many lawyers does it take to scramble U.S. Constitutional rights to privacy and property? About 43,311.

"We don't sell your personal data to advertisers."

They actually make this boldface lie right in their terms of service. Anyone who starts getting ads on Facebook for furniture the moment they mention buying a new sofa knows this is a lie. *See* Facebook Terms of Service, paragraph three.

LinkedIn

LinkedIn's abuse of privacy and property is carefully snuggled in more we-care-for-you fluffy pillow legalese claptrap. And, its wording in critical points is almost identical to the other providers:

"As between you and LinkedIn, you own the content and information that you submit or post to the Services, and you are only granting LinkedIn and our affiliates the following non-exclusive license [now the gas lighting]:

A worldwide, transferable and sublicensable right to use, copy, modify, distribute, publish and process, information and content that you provide through our Services and the services of others, without any further consent, notice and/or compensation to you or others."

Folks, this means that they can use and sell your information as if they own it.

The lock-step among the big tech EULAs proves that our "white-shoe" law firms are conspiring against the privacy and property rights of the American citizenry, irrespective of your politics.

When the service is "free," the product is me.

In the world of computer software, what you cannot see often hurts you badly. But it is hard for human beings to be outraged by damage that takes time to occur, like data harvesting and abuse of privacy.

Therefore, the unscrupulous white-show lawyers win?

We suspect that even this short article about privacy has eyes glazing. The lawyers who steal your privacy and property rights count on this response.

"I don't have anything to hide."

Stop giving in to the brain washing that destroys your rights in exchange for the false comfort of safety and security.

What do you have to lose? Your Republic.

A typical retort from the average person about this abuse of privacy and property is: "I don't have anything to hide."

Respectfully, this is absolutely the WRONG response if you consider yourself to be a thinking person.

America's founders were fed up with the British troops barging unannounced into their houses and rummaging through their papers and belongings.

Besides taxation without representation, unlawful search and seizure was another chief complaint of American patriots against the British monarchy and its two-tiered system of justice for thee, but not for me.

The British penchant to control the world has been sourced to the formation of England itself in 1066 AD. Within months the new Norman (French) king William the Conqueror codified usury in the nascent British Empire by chartering "Radknight" merchant-bankers to run an independent City of London square mile international banking and trading zone in 1067 AD. The City of London controls the world, including America, passing membership from father to son, to this day.

Benjamin Disraeli (1804-1881; two-term prime minister 1868, 1874-1880):

"London is a modern Babylon."

Justice for me, not for thee

The uncontrolled seizure of our digital lives is every bit as serious today as the British troops invading our homes in 1776. Now, the British hide behind digital firewalls to avoid being identified. And yes, we literally mean the British. But, that is for other articles.

Case in point: British Liberal Democrats Sir Nick Clegg and Baron Lord Richard Allan run Facebook-Meta-WhatsApp-Instagram globally.

Just weeks ago, astoundingly, Sir Eric Pickles was written into US law by Congress.

The leadership of British intelligence MI6 and the Crown Prosecution Service in the Trump-Russia hoax is now public record, as is the control of the U.S. Patent Office by Crown-controlled SERCO & QinetiQ.

Tyrants always milk the citizenry for every last ounce of value. That is what Babylonian merchant-bankers started doing in Babylon after the Hammurabi Code in 1755 BC first codified usury into law.

Today, digital information is one of their key currencies. However, to gather that data, they started breaking our laws on property and privacy to steal it.

Such law breakers are criminals who must be stopped.

Protecting privacy and property is a bi-partisan issue

This issue is not partisan for those who respect the American Republic. Both our elected representatives and our appointed bureaucrats swear oaths to protect our constitutional rights to privacy and property.

If they do not, then they are being treasonous, if not seditious.

Ask these 7 questions of your candidates:

1. Are you for or against the seizure of our online content that is gathered without our conscious knowledge? What do say to the white-show law firms who are abusing our constitutional rights?

2. Do you receive donations and support from digital content abusers, their law firms, and their financiers? (Note: Most of these tech abusers are interlocked with Vanguard, BlackRock (iShares), Fidelity (FMR), State Street, Goldman Sachs, T. Rowe Price, JPMorgan, Rothschild, Warburg, Barclays, Credit Suisse, Deutsche Bank, Baring, among others.)

3. If so, will you send back those donations, including from their interlocked surrogates?

4. Will you work to stop deceptive, Bill of Rights-destroying End User License Agreements?

5. How would you fix the broken attorney discipline system? Will you disbar attorneys who help violate our Constitutional rights?

6. Would you prohibit licensed attorneys from partisan lobbying and elected office? (Almost half of Congress are attorneys from these white-shoe firms. Practically the entire Department of Justice is peopled with white-show attorneys.)

7. Will you protect American inventors and stop the British-lawyer control of the U.S. Patent Office via Crown SERCO & QinetiQ.