EXTRAORDINARY NEW PROOF: VLADIMIR LENIN & COMMUNISM WERE CREATED BY GLOBALIST NEWSMEN FROM THE BRITISH-AMERICAN PILGRIMS SOCIETY

The British–American Pilgrims Society steals and weaponizes trade secrets & patents for mass surveillance and media propaganda

The British wanted control of Russia’s wealth, and at the same time to disempower Russia and Germany


"Five Eyes" Pilgrims Society spies are attempting a U.S. coup d'état right now
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Fig. 1—Vladimir Ilyich Ulyanov Lenin was a creation of the Pilgrims Society using P.W. Wilson, the Empire Press Union, The New York Times, M6 and Communism—their self-annointed elitist creation to control the masses. Explosive new evidence shows that the British-American Pilgrims Society (1902) created the Vladimir Lenin and Bolshevik Revolution as a “false flag” to destabilize Russia so that British-American corporations would have access to Russia vast

https://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2019/10/vladimir-lenin-communism-were-created.html
Our 120-year old historical findings are so explosive that we have puzzled with how best to frame the story. We decided to just start sharing these findings as best we can and let the story tell itself after being buried for so long. Truth finds a way.

We welcome patriots across the globe to share additional findings as “the penny drops” (English def.: A belated realization of something after a period of confusion or ignorance). (Phrases.org.uk).

**LENIN WAS CREATED BY THE BRITISH–U.S. PILGRIMS SOCIETY**
Cutting to the chase, our new findings prove that The Pilgrims Society—with its two branches in London and New York—created Lenin, Bolshevism, communism, social democracy and sociology university curricula worldwide (their recruiting ground).

LENIN WAS COACHED IN LONDON BY PILGRIMS SOCIETY FOUNDERS—VISITING IN 1902–03, 1905, 1908 AND 1911

Lenin visited London at least four times starting in 1902 when he was 32 years old.

Lenin’s second visit to London in 1905 to 16 Percy Circus, Pancras is the smoking gun.

LENIN WAS HOSTED IN 1905 BY RADICAL SOCIALIST LIBERAL MP PHILIP WHITWELL WILSON

Between Apr. 22 and May 10, 1905 (source) Lenin stayed in the
London home of radical socialist Liberal MP Philip Whitwell Wilson at 16 Percy Circus, St Pancras. He was attending the 3rd Congress of the Russian Social Democratic Labour Party. Lenin was under constant surveillance by the Czar’s secret police, so Wilson was evidently a trusted insider who provided him safe haven under the Privy Council’s protection. Joseph Stalin and Leon Trotsky also attended this 1905 meeting.

Fig. 5—Philip Whitwell Wilson (1875-1956). Radical liberal MP hosted Vladimir I. Lenin in 1905 (Apr. 22 to May 10). He was a “prime mover” of the Imperial Press Conference, 1909. In 1917, he moved to the USA and used The New York Times for his evident MI6-C.I.A. espionage cover for 39 years. He appears to have been the British Pilgrims Society’s top man in the U.S.

Fig. 6—Lenin @ 16 Percy Circus, Pancras, London, Apr. 22 to May 10, 1905; the home of socialist liberal MP-to-be Philip Whitwell Wilson (1906-1910).

We’ll discuss Lenin’s Apr.-May 1902 (source) visit to London hosted by socialist printer Harry Quelch at 40 Holford Square below.

In 1908 (May), (source) Lenin stayed at 21 Tavistock Place. He used printer Harry Quelch’s recommendation to gain access to the British Museum Library nearby. By 1911, this address was used for meetings of the ‘Foreign Barbers of London’, a pseudonym for the Bolsheviks.
In 1911 (Nov.) (source), Lenin stayed at 6 Oakley Square. He gave a lecture believed to be named “Stolypin and the Revolution” at King’s Hall in London’s East End. Stolypin was Russian Prime Minister from Jul. 21, 1906 to Sep. 18, 1911 when he was assassinated, probably on Lenin’s order.

History tells us that the Bolshevik Revolution was carried out with relatively few people. To aid the Pilgrims Society’s support for Lenin, Viscount Alfred Milner traveled to Russia in the Spring of 1917 under orders from Parliament to deliver approved aid to the Czar to fight the Bolsheviks, but Milner double-crossed the Czar and Parliament and refused to give it.

It is well known that Lenin received substantial funding from Pilgrims Society member banks in London and New York. Eight years earlier, on Jun. 22, 1909, the Pilgrims Society, including Milner, and the newly-forming Empire Press Union had hosted the Russian President and members of the Duma at the House of Commons —during the Imperial Press Conference. Soon after Milner left Russia in the Spring of 1917—where he had met with the Duma, Lenin arrived by train in St. Petersburg. A few months later, Czar Romanov and his entire family and staff were assassinated, triggering the Bolshevik takeover. The treachery of Viscount Alfred Milner and the Pilgrims Society is quite evident.

FIRST IMPERIAL PRESS CONFERENCE, 1909, SHEPHERD’S BUSH, WHITE CITY—UNLEASHED DEMONS OF WAR, CONFLICT, DEATH AND DESTRUCTION

With this backdrop, the events surrounding the First Imperial Conference, 1909 take on ominous significance. In addition to being a binge of imperialist English-speaking race demagoguery, the conference very intentionally organized the Empire Press Union (Jul. 23, 1909) to carry on the agenda. See also London Times and The Fourth Estate.

Tellingly, the entire leadership of the Imperial Press Conference, 1909 and its spawn, the Empire Press Union, were ALL founding members of the Pilgrim Society and disciples of Cecil Rhodes and Viscount Alfred Milner.
UK-U.S. NEWSPAPERMEN TOOK OVER GOVERNMENT INTELLIGENCE ON JUL. 29, 1909

If this story was not dark enough, on Jul. 29, 1909, British Prime Minister Asquith announced that he was forming subcommittees under the Committee on Imperial Defence—he named them Colonial Defence and Home Defence (this is the way the British spell Defense), as well as archiving facilities.

Most histories point to this Jul. 29, 1909 event as the founding of MI6, MI5 and GC&CS (renamed GCHQ in 1946).

Asquith even told Parliament that the subcommittees would have fluid staffing that would not necessarily include members of Parliament, and would report only to the prime minister (and not to Parliament). Most tellingly, he said he would staff his new intelligence operations from Empire Press Union newspapermen.

In short, newspapermen built modern intelligence in Britain first, then the British used their influence to develop and
control America’s counterparts (C.I.A., FBI and NSA).

In fact, on Mar. 05, 1946 the Pilgrims Society used the fatigue of WWII to officially combine British Empire intelligence with American intelligence (FBI and newly-forming C.I.A. and NSA) in the infamous “Five Eyes” agreement. This followed U.S. Chief of Staff George Marshall giving the green light to exchange most all intelligence with Britain, Canada, New Zealand, Australia as long as they did not infringe American patents . . . an order they have grossly ignored.

Seen from the eyes of a Constitutional American, this “Five Eyes” agreement is treason. However, seen through the eyes of a Pilgrim Society member it was merely another step toward the one-world government they had pined for since the Cecil Rhodes Manifesto for an Anglo-American English-speaking one world government run by unelected Pilgrim Society corporations.

OPENING MEETING OF THE FIRST IMPERIAL PRESS CONFERENCE, JUN. 07, 1909

Now, for the purpose of giving a high level overview of these explosive findings, we jump to Jun. 07, 1909 and the opening meeting and luncheon at the House of Commons of the delegates of the First Imperial Press Conference, 1909.

GROUND ZERO FOR THE MURDER OF OVER 260 MILLION SOULS + BY THE PILGRIMS SOCIETY

A detailed record of the “First Imperial Press Conference, 1909” from Jun. 05-28, 1909 was kept. However, it had largely disappeared outside of “approved” snippets in doctored media-intelligence histories. This is one of the best examples AFI researchers have seen to validate the adage that “history is written by the winners.” The winners in this case were the keepers of the Jesuit-like secrets of the Pilgrims Society. Hopefully they are about to start losing.

A Parliament of the Press was a bound, illustrated, 7-1/2” by 9-3/4” 248-page book that was quickly printed at the end of the conference for the 650 delegates to take home. Click here to access A Parliament of the Press on single pages.
Like a scene from *Indiana Jones*, AFI researchers in real life discovered a copy of this book hidden away in the offsite library warehouse of a prominent Midwestern university. The book was in pristine condition and did not appear to have ever been read.

“MR. P.W. WILSON” WAS ONE OF “THE PRIME MOVERS” OF THE CONFERENCE

CHAPTER IV. OPENING OF THE CONFERENCE (Jun. 07, 1909), pp. 43-44 identifies Mr. P.W. Wilson as one of the “prime movers” of the first meeting and luncheon at the House of Commons. The other “prime mover” was Sir Gilbert Parker who latter targeted propaganda against America for the British War Propaganda Bureau (Wellington House, 1914-1916).

“The Speaker (the Right Hon. J. W. Lowther) presided, and the company included, in addition to those already mentioned, Mr. L. Harcourt, Mr. Sydney Buxton, Lord Courtney, Earl Winterton, Sir G. Robertson, the Earl of Ronaldshay, Sir Benjamin Stone [photographer], Sir Gilbert Parker [1914-1916 head of propaganda targeting the USA], Mr. P. Snowden, Mr. W. P. Byles, Mr. McKinnon Wood, Mr. R. C. Lehmann, Mr. P. W. Wilson, Mr. J. H. Voxall, Sir Charles Dalziel, the Sergeant-at-Arms (Mr. H. D. Erskine), and several representative journalists from the Press Gallery.

A telegram was received from Mr. Balfour, expressing regret at his inability to attend.

After this luncheon, the prime movers in which were Sir Gilbert Parker, M.P. (a Canadian born, and at one time an Australian journalist), and Mr. P.W. Wilson, M.P. the delegates were taken into the Gallery of the House of Commons, and spent an agreeable hour in watching the Mother of Parliaments at work.”
Oddly, even though P.W. Wilson, MP and Sir Gilbert Parker were “the prime movers” in these House of Commons proceedings, neither man is properly identified in the book’s photo caption.

In fact, Wilson is 4th from left in the Second Row and Gilbert is 3rd from left, as confirmed by a high quality Parliamentary Archives copy of the original photos used for the book.

Curiously, in the A Parliament of the Press book, Parker’s and Wilson’s names are totally mislabeled as “W.S. Douglas” and “M. Cohen.” The evident conclusion is that these two “prime movers” of the first day of the most important press conference in the history of the British Empire were obscured intentionally due to their secret Pilgrim Society intelligence and propaganda activities.

While we have yet to find Wilson on a Pilgrims Society list, all his friends and colleagues are founders. One thing is certain, if he was not a member, then he was their trusted valet. We think the former.

History is deafeningly silent about Wilson, apart from news reports of his speeches in American from 1917 to 1956. His 49-year absence from the history of intelligence and media propaganda speaks volumes in itself. In fact, less is said about
Wilson than the early directors of MI6, MI5, C.I.A., NSA, FBI and GCHQ—all spawns of the Pilgrims Society.

**PHILIP WHITWELL WILSON: “THE NAME THAT CANNOT BE NAMED.”**

One can reasonably conclude that Wilson was so important to the British control of the American media, intelligence and politics that he was (and still is) “the name that could not be named.”

Since Wilson died long after WWII, it is quite possible that he was Sir William Stephenson’s (codename: Intrepid) handler during WWII in New York where Wilson used The New York Times as his cover while Intrepid worked from 30 Rockefeller Center. The Rockefellers were also founding members of the Pilgrims Society and financial backers of the Tavistock Institute (for psychological propaganda).

In 1909, P.W. Wilson was a member of Parliament as well as parliamentary correspondent for the London Daily News (1907-1917). From 1917 until his death in 1956, Wilson was the American correspondent for both London Daily News and New York Times, as well as president of the Association of Foreign Press in the U.S.

Anti-Czar and anti-German passions leading to WWI were fabricated & promoted in the Pilgrims Society newspapers of New York & London for profit & one-world hegemony.
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**CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES**

“**CANON 2: A JUDGE SHOULD AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN ALL ACTIVITIES**”

**GALLERY OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

---

**Judge Leonard P. Stark**, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D. Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader’s prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. He also permitted the jury to ignore the Pfaff v. Wells test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. Facebook’s entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the “heavy burden” of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)

---

**Judge Alan D. Lourie**, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See analysis of Judge Lourie’s T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO. Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in Group One v. Hallmark Cards to the evidence.
New York & London bankers launched a massive, almost overnight (evidently pre-written) blanket campaigning propaganda campaign, probably organized by the new British propaganda "Ministry of Information," in major U.S. newspapers to demonize the Russian Czar and convince the American public that their cut out, Vladimir Lenin, was a liberator (Aug. 18, 1917)

WILSON BOASTED TO A NEW JERSEY NEWSPAPER IN 1927, LEAVING STRONG CLUES TO HIS REAL IDENTITY AND MEDIA/INTELLIGENCE INFLUENCE

On Sep. 28, 1927, in a biography Wilson provided to the press as an editor for The New York Times (likely cover for M16), Wilson boasted that he was so close to American presidents (Wilson, Harding, Coolidge and Roosevelt) that he was called to Teddy Roosevelt’s deathbed where the dying president allegedly “thanked him for his services to the cause of international friendship.”
Who on their deathbed would pander to such socialist claptrap? Likely nobody. The Pilgrims Society’s one-world government propaganda knickers are showing in this disingenuous boasting.

With this background at the *London Daily News* and *The New York Times* we can look back with fresh eyes at Wilson’s activities in 1905.

**WHO WAS SOCIALIST LIBERAL MP PHILIP WHITWELL WILSON? A PROponent of the Socialist Settlement Movement**

In the first decade of the 20th century, Wilson was well known as a radical socialist MP who strongly supported the [Settlement Movement](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Socialism_in_the_U.K.)—one of the precursors of communism. It promoted egalitarian communities directed by a master.

John Ruskin is considered by many to be the father of the settlement movement. Alfred Milner and Cecil Rhodes were among Ruskin’s admirers. Many of his ideas are embodied today in definitions of the welfare state. His ideas about a universal income sound quite contemporary to some current Democratic candidates for U.S. President. Also notable was Ruskin’s well known promiscuity and likely pedophilia—which appears to be a ticket to the dance for British elitists.

The British Zionist Kibbutz (Israel) settlement movement traces its roots (ca. 1880-1920) to Ruskin, Milner and Rhodes. In their emerging philosophy of Empire, socialism/communism/kibbutz, and strict control limiting the resources consumed by the masses, was promoted while the enlightened classes ruling over them were to live in luxury as a sort of secular divine right.
They argued that this racist white supremacy was just the natural order of things. Ruskin borrowed heavily from models of Christian community while rejecting Christ's teachings on love in favor of “social justice” as society’s driving moral force, with his followers being the hammers to subdue the masses.

Wilson’s proven association with Lenin during Lenin’s formative political years, and Lenin’s embrace of communism/Leninism, is *prima facie* evidence that Wilson’s colleagues in the Pilgrim Society were directing and financing Lenin and the Bolsheviks.

**LENIN WAS "J. RICHTER"**

Earlier, during Lenin’s 13 months stay in London between Apr.-May 1902 (source), he was hosted by the socialist printer Harry Quelch at 30 Holford Square. Lenin used the pseudonym “J. Richter” in his home. As an example, he advertised for English-Russian language lessons as "J. Richter" with reply to the 30 Holford Square address (see actual ad below). In 1920 Quelch’s son Tom Quelch would become a founding member of the Communist Party of Great Britain.

Then, as stated earlier, between Apr. 22 and May 10, 1905, Lenin stayed in the home of radical socialist Liberal MP, Philip Whitwell Wilson.
CONCLUSION:

On Jul. 16, 1902, Sir Harry Brittain and General Lord Roberts formed the British-American Pilgrims Society of Great Britain. At the same time, between Apr. 1902 to May 1903 (13 mos.), Vladimir Lenin was living in socialist printer Henry Quelch’s house. Quelch’s son later founded the British Communist Party at that address.

In 1903, the American chapter of the Pilgrims Society was founded in New York.

The Pilgrims evidently groomed Lenin and the Bolsheviks to carry out their plan to take down the Czar and establish Russia with their newly-minted communist ideology.

In 1905, Philip Whitwell Wilson obviously felt safe enough in his Pilgrims Society protection to host Lenin, Stalin and Trotsky at the 3rd Congress of the Russian Social Democratic Labour Party.

In 1908, Lenin returned to 21 Tavistock Square, the site of the first meetings of the “Foreign Barbers of London”, a pseudonym for the Bolsheviks. This part of London housed the newly-formed Tavistock Institute in 1946 (funding by the Pilgrims Society members like the Rockefeller and Carnegies) where some of the most heinous brainwashing research in the world today takes place. The apple still does not fall far from the tree of these evil vibrations.

In 1909, the Pilgrims Society organized an unprecedented gathering of newspapermen from across the Empire to imbue their megalomaniacal notions of imperialism upon the unsuspecting delegates, who were overwhelmed at the flattery and attention. The crowning achievement was the formation of a “permanent” Empire Press Union that became the clearinghouse for all intelligence and propaganda . . . to this day. Indeed, delegates were recruited to form MI5, MI6 and GC& CS.

In 1911, Lenin returned again to London to give a lecture on the recent assassination of Russian Prime Minister Stolypin a month earlier (very likely ordered by Lenin and the Pilgrims Society).
These facts show an unmistakable association among Lenin, Wilson, the Pilgrims Society, the Empire Press Union, Mi6 and the emergence of the global newspaper-led propaganda machine in both Britain and America.

They reveal a common thread to the radical leftist agendas of the American Uniparty and the British Remainers. Their heritage with Vladimir Lenin makes them unmistakably Communist.

It is pretty clear that the current agenda of the Pilgrims Society is One World 3.0. Their first attempt in Russia failed, as did their second failure in Germany and Italy. Now, in One World 3.0 they want a corporate imperialism according to Cecil Rhodes' Manifesto where the masses are boxed in and restricted by communism while the elites rule from exquisite bunkers of wealth and privilege. In the Soviet period, they even had their own dollar stores called "Beriozkas" in the U.S.S.R. and "Pewex" in Poland. If these sound attractive, just ask anyone who lived in that period. They will tell you sad and often humorous stories of the yo yo of want and excess that these stores created because the state-run suppliers just never seemed to have control of their inventories.

One thing is certain, The Pilgrims Society, global surveillance, propaganda and continuous war, Vladimir Lenin and Bolshevism were cut out of the same cloth.

That cloth must be unraveled if the world is ever going to have a chance at more peaceful lives where war is no longer a requirement for prosperity, and where the Golden Rule prevails.

We can and must dis-empower these ancient oligarch families whose fortunes are steeped in ritual sin and murder.

* * *

Return to return to the beginning of this post:
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I was born in the then Rhodesia and left just as it changed hands to Mugabe. I did not know or understand, until a number of years ago, who and what Cecil Rhodes was, until I started reading and researching all sorts of subjects.

I would not have considered myself racist as a child growing up, as I did interact with black people on our farm. In those days, we had men working in our homes too, cleaning, cooking etc and we had one man who I adored as a child.

Of course later on I understood that separating blacks from whites was not right. My one school was actually called Cecil John Rhodes.

I moved to South Africa, and by then changes were already beginning. I worked with what were called 'coloured' people, but that was the name for bi racial. In fact the man I married was very dark skinned, although he was "classified" white due to his parents. When Mandela was released and took power, we had many good years. Sadly, as with Zimbabwe, when Mugabe got in, and after Mandela died, South Africa has been taken over by corrupt black government. It is now all about reversed apartheid. White farmers are tortured and murdered, raped, in the most evil ways. Crime is awful. If things had gone well, if South Africa could have had a non corrupt, part white, part black government, life would be so much better. South Africa, like Zimbabwe was once beautiful and had great tourism.

Mugabe murdered any black dissenters, he stole all the money and lived like a king whilst murdered, raped, in the most evil ways. Crime is awful. If things had gone well, if South Africa could have had a non corrupt, part white, part black government, life would be so much better. South Africa, like Zimbabwe was once beautiful and had great tourism.

As far as I know Rhodes made himself a lot of money from Rhodesia. And people don't stop to think he was British - and his role in apartheid. His role in the NWO.
Steer the target towards a controlled group that initially embraces them then turns on them to control their thoughts. This creates fragmentation and depression. 3. Provide carefully controlled access to destructive escapist salves which provide temporary dopamine. 4. Use the dependence on these escapist salves to direct the targets actions.

This method is used to undermine healthy communities. Be forewarned when you see signs of a hidden hand trying to undermine a target esp the young.
Americans for Innovation: EXTRAORDINARY NEW PROOF: VLADIMIR LENIN & COMMUNISM WERE CREATED BY GLOBALIST NE...

October 8, 2019 at 7:33 AM

Email comment by Anon:

Ilhan Omar @IlhanMN committed fraud (perjured herself) on her court documents to dissolve her marriage to Ahmed Absdulle Hirsi, apparently after being married to her brother Ahmed Nur Said Elmi. Said she had no other names, but "Hirsi" and "Elmi" don't look like the same names!

David Steinberg (@realDSteinberg) tweeted at 11:40 AM on Mon, Oct 07, 2019:

"Wife has not been known by other names." As I have published, she was reportedly 'Ilhan Nur Said Elmi' prior to being brought to the US. (4/4) https://t.co/e2YUBPb19o (https://twitter.com/realDSteinberg/status/1181278206336200708/s=09)

Full story [VIDEO]:
https://twitter.com/realDSteinberg/status/1181278206336200708

Reply

K. Craine October 8, 2019 at 7:38 AM

Email comment by THE VERGE:

Colin Lecher. (Sep. 10, 2019). Bill Gates says he met with Jeffrey Epstein because he knew "a lot of rich people". The Verge.

READ THE PILGRIMS SOCIETY'S SPIN ON BILL GATES' ASSOCIATION WITH JEFFREY EPSTEIN.

REMEMBER,Whatever they say, the opposite is the truth. They only know how to lie.

In an interview with The Wall Street Journal, Gates admitted to meeting with Epstein, but he said he did not have interactions with him beyond that. The Microsoft founder said he was "never at any parties or anything like that," and that all the meetings they held together "were meetings with men."

Full PROPAGANDA:

Reply

K. Craine October 8, 2019 at 7:42 AM

Email comment by JM:

Jesse Watters. (Sep. 30, 2019). Jesse Watters slams Hillary Clinton: For her to finger Trump as being crooked is complete hypocrisy. FoxNews.

Fox News’ Jesse Watters slammed former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton Monday for calling President Trump’s presidency “illegitimate” during an interview with CBS News that aired Sunday.

“She’s a crooked person. And for her to finger Trump as being crooked is complete hypocrisy," Watters said on “The Five.”

"Talk about foreign interference in an election. How about all those tens of millions of dollars that poured into the Clinton Foundation when she was secretary of state or running for president? Was that influencing the election at all? Or that 9 million dollars you paid a foreign agent to influence the last election called the Steele dossier.”

Full story [VIDEO]:
https://www.foxnews.com/media/hillary-clinton-donald-trump-jesse-watters

K. Craine October 8, 2019 at 7:46 AM

Email comment by Anon:

Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO)

Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase, CEO)

Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase, General Counsel)

Rodgin Cohen (JP MorganChase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)

U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (granted Fenwick & West’s application on behalf of Facebook for an unprecedented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgated for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from “dubious” sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with this Moscow company, Digital Sky Technologies, aka IST, aka Mail.ru)

Jeff Markey (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook’s Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama’s green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $335 million for failed Solarina)

Steve McBee (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook’s Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama’s green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $335 million for failed Solarina)

Michael F. McGowan (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

Bryan J. Rose (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook’s expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be "wild guessing" about the purpose of a Java "sessionstate" import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greeberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)

Toni Townes-Whitley (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama’s 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI "donated" $47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI shut off the security features on Obama’s reelection website; CGI donated sites to increase donations)

CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated $47 million to Obama’s reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama’s reelection donation sites to increase donations)

CGI Federal (Gauld Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation)

Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase, CEO)

Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase, General Counsel)

Rodgin Cohen (JP MorganChase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)

U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (granted Fenwick & West’s application on behalf of Facebook for an unprecedented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgated for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from “dubious” sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with this Moscow company, Digital Sky Technologies, aka IST, aka Mail.ru)

It’s the Clinton phone call transcript that Democrats and their media allies don’t want American voters to see — but it was hiding out in public.

In fact, Fox News’ Tucker Carlson said he didn’t even have to dig deep at all to find a 2000 phone call between former President Bill Clinton [PILGRIMS SOCIETY] and a foreign leader, where Clinton is clearly asking for help in the upcoming 2000 presidential election.

“You’ve heard endlessly on cable news that it is unprecedented the president would seek political gain from a conversation with a foreign leader,” Carlson explained.

“Well, turns out, it has happened before. Back in 2000, President Bill Clinton had a conversation with a foreign leader,” Carlson explained.

“You’ve heard endlessly on cable news that it is unprecedented the president would seek political gain from a conversation with a foreign leader,” Carlson explained.

“Well, turns out, it has happened before. Back in 2000, President Bill Clinton had a conversation with a foreign leader,” Carlson explained.

You’ve heard endlessly on cable news that it is unprecedented the president would seek political gain from a conversation with a foreign leader,” Carlson explained.

“Well, turns out, it has happened before. Back in 2000, President Bill Clinton had a conversation with a foreign leader,” Carlson explained.

“You’ve heard endlessly on cable news that it is unprecedented the president would seek political gain from a conversation with a foreign leader,” Carlson explained.

“Well, turns out, it has happened before. Back in 2000, President Bill Clinton had a conversation with a foreign leader,” Carlson explained.

“Do you think he’d do it again?” Carlson asked. “Not likely, but the fact that it happened at all is unprecedented.”
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“You’ve heard endlessly on cable news that it is unprecedented the presid...
Lenin’s Host in London: Philip Whitwell Wilson MP

By Alan Sargeant

Lenin arrived in London, via Berlin, at the back-end of April 1905 for the 3rd Congress of the Russian Social Democratic Labour Party. Little is known about the congress, shrouded as it was in the utmost secrecy. The first revolution in Russia had got underway in January of 1905 and the two-week summit in London between April 22nd and May 10th would just about coincide with a violent, month-long mutiny breaking out on the Imperial Russian battleship, Potemkin (June 1905). The general feeling at the congress was that conditions were now at fever-pitch. In her 1930 memoirs, Lenin’s wife Nadezhda Krupskaya described how the third congress ‘bore quite a different physiognomy’ to those held previously. Definition had been brought to the revolutionary organisations in Russia and these were taking the form of ‘illegal committees working under drastically difficult conditions of secrecy’ (Memories Of Lenin, 1930). Krupskaya also recalls that plans to arm the Petrograd workers were based around a massive haul of weapons to be purchased and smuggled into Russia from England on the London steamship, SS John Grafton, and to be crewed by East End and Whitechapel sailors. And although the plans proved fruitless (the ship was mysteriously wrecked just off the Finnish coast carrying 5,000 rifles and 3,000,000 rounds of ammunition), the confidence of trusted parties was more aggressively sought than before. A raid on the party’s Central Committee had just taken place at the home of Leonid Andreyev in Moscow, and those members who were still at liberty to travel were instructed to reconvene in London. The identity of the venues was cloaked in secrecy for a reason.
But that doesn’t mean to say there aren’t some clues.

The address that Lenin used during his stay in London for the 3rd Congress was 16 Percy Circus in the Clerkenwell district. The property was located minutes around the corner from Holford Square where Lenin had stayed during his first visit in 1902. Today you’ll find it sporting a respectable blue heritage plaque, just across the road from the Travelodge Royal Scot. The area at the time of Lenin’s visit was set out on a south-facing embankment in a classical layout; a handsome arrangement of Squares and gardens offset by various rectangles. The roads here were wide and generous, quite different from others in the city. The houses were tall and impressive and the air was good.

When Vladimir Lenin lodged here in 1905, the property was the family home of parliamentary journalist and Liberal MP, Philip Whitwell Wilson. Wilson was to run successfully for St Pancras South in 1906 as a Radical and Liberal candidate and had the same knightly earnestness (if not the looks and the charm) as the young Victor Grayson. Wilson had been unanimously adopted as candidate in January 1905 and came from a well known family in Kendal in the Lake District. Interestingly, the former editor of Granta was one of four staff writers at the Daily News standing as candidates in London that year.

In May 1905, just one year before being elected MP for St Pancras South, Philip Whitwell Wilson let Lenin use his home at 16 Percy Circus

Why historians have neglected to mention the part played by Philip Whitwell Wilson in Lenin’s early revolutionary activities remains a mystery. That a soon-to-be-serving Member of Parliament played host to a suspected terrorist at the height of an ongoing revolution just has to be worthy of mention, but to
date, it’s escaped the attention of most. And this is a shame, as Wilson’s generous input might yet offer a clue to a mystery that has long since baffled academics: which venues did Lenin and the Revolutionaries use for the 3rd Congress? And the reason why it might offer a clue is fairly straightforward: Philip Whitwell Wilson was a senior council member of Whitefield’s Central Mission — something of a dynamo among the close-knit circle of churches in Central London offering refuge to the Russian exiles.

The mission, Nonconformist/Congregationalist in outlook and practice, had been set-up on Tottenham Court Road by Liberal MP and Minister, Charles Silvester Horne, after a donation of £8,000 from Mrs Elizabeth Rylands, wife of Manchester textile millionaire and philanthropist, Sir John Rylands in 1903. The mission, also known as Tottenham Court Road Chapel served under the auspices of the London Society Missions. The missions’ chief representative in Russia had been Stepney Minister, Edward Stallybrass, whose missions in Irkutsk and Selenginsk served to ‘correct’ the ungodly ways of the Mongol-descended Buryats.


In Herbert T. Fitch’s Traitors Within (1933), the former Special Branch detective describes a passionate flurry of smaller meetings taking place at
three public houses in the Islington area. A steady roll call of biographers, academics and unscrupulous pub managers have put forward a handful of likely candidates over the years: The Crown and Woolpack on Clerkenwell Green, Walter Brett’s The Duke of Sussex at 106 Islington High Street; William J. Reed’s The Cock Tavern, 27 Great Portland Street and The White Lion, 25 Islington High Street. Wetherspoons. But as it was various East End Missions that had provided venues for the 2nd and 5th RSDLP Congress, it seems plausible that Wilson and Horne’s chapel on Tottenham Court Road could also have been among the handful venues used in addition to the Islington pubs in April and May that year. And if it wasn’t the Whitefield Central Mission, then we could well be looking at other Wilson-related venues like the Liberal and Radical Club at Grafton Lodge on Prince of Wales Road, or Cleveland Hall in Fitzroy Square. Cleveland Hall fits the bill just nicely as it’s where Kropotkin had attended a meeting of international revolutionists in February 1887. By 1905 the hall had been taken over by Carmarthen’s Hugh Price Hughes of West London Methodist Mission, so the Police may have been looking elsewhere.

**WHY WAS LENIN BOARDING WITH WILSON AT PERCY CIRCUS?**

Compared with the 2nd and 5th Congresses, the 3rd Congress came together in a fairly improvised but no less blistering fashion. The series of meetings had been initiated by Father Georgy Gapon, the Russian Orthodox priest who’d led January’s Bloody Sunday demonstration in St Petersburg. The massacre that ensued set in motion a remarkable chain of events that would later be aggregated and repackaged as Russia’s ‘1905 Revolution’. Some six weeks later in March, Father Gapon escaped to London and in April, the 33 year-old leader of the Russian Worker’s Club organized a series of emergency meetings that would bring together all the principal Russian revolutionary groups. The
meetings had been galvanised in part by the arrest of Leonid Andreyev, Evgeny Chirikov, Ivan Bunin, Stepan Skitalets and other members of the Sreda literary circle in the last week of February that year. The circle, which included several members of the RSDLP’s Central Committee — including Gorky — was questioned over the assassination of Grand Duke Sergei Alexandrovich outside the Kremlin Palace, just days before (Manchester Guardian, 25 Feb 1905, p.9). The assassin was Ivan Kalyayev, aspiring poet and fringe member of the group. As a response the plans for the Congress were switched from Russia to London, whose Police, in contrast to those in France and Germany, had no formal relationship with Russia’s ‘secret’ police, the Okhrana.

During this period Gapon’s mood is known to have changed from one of peaceful action to ‘change by any means necessary’. Gapon’s meeting with Lenin in Geneva in the week immediately prior to the Bloody Sunday demonstration (January 22nd) was the clearest indication yet that ‘the moment of open struggle for Russia’s masses’ was fast approaching (Memories of Lenin, Nadezhda Krupskaya, 1933, p.89)

The eighteen organisations invited to Comrade Gapon’s conference included The Socialist-Revolutionary Party, the Vperyod R.S.D.L.P, the Iskra R.S.D.L.P, the Polish Socialist Party, the Lettish Social-Democratic Labour Party and the Bund (see: Lenin’s “A Militant Agreement for the Uprising and V. I. Lenin The Third Congress of the R.S.D.L.P). Gapon met Lenin on the 3rd day of the Congress (April 14th) which saw the so-called ‘Council of Reform’ draw up a series of uncompromising demands: the immediate release of all political and religious prisoners, the creation of a republic with freedom of speech and free press, an autonomous government for Finland and the ultimate establishment of a democratic federation for the Caucasus (London Daily News 02 May 1905).
For the duration of the congress, Anarcho-Socialist Rudolf Rocker provided Gapon with full run of his home in Mile End, Stepney Green. The address was 33 Dunstan House. Just two months later Dunstan House would also provide refuge to the fugitive leader of the Potemkin Mutiny, Afanasi Matushenko.* There were additional claims in the Manchester Courier and Dundee Courier on May 1st that Gapon had also stayed in Harrow and Ravenscourt Park — a favoured meeting place for William Morris, Dora Montefiore (who lived at nearby Upper Mall), Sergey Stepniak (Society of Friends of Russian Freedom) and the early Socialists. Wilson’s colleague at the Daily News, David Soskice also claims to have sheltered Gapon at his home at 90 Brook Green, Hammersmith — a home that Soskice shared with his mother-in-law, Catherine Hueffer, wife and model of Pre-Raphaelite artist, Ford Madox Brown and mother of Parade’s End novelist, Ford Madox Ford.

A LITTLE HELP FROM HENRY NOEL BRAILSFORD

The man widely regarded as Gapon’s ‘campaign manager’ in London was Henry Noel Brailsford and like Lenin’s host, Philip Whitwell Wilson, Brailsford was a senior journalist at the Daily News. Wilson and Brailsford also served on Parliament’s Balkan Committee, formed in 1903 as a result of escalating tensions (and complete ignorance) over Macedonia. The Committee was chaired by Scottish firebrand, James Bryce MP, who, like Grayson, had honed his oratory at Owens College, Manchester. Man Who Was Thursday novelist, G.K Chesterton also sat on the committee. Two years later Brailsford would seek funding for Lenin and attendees of the 5th Congress of the RSDLP. The generous donor on that occasion was American Soap Magnate, Joseph Fels, whose market-leading Fels-Naptha Soap was based around a hydrocarbon mix of Russian Crude Oil and Coal Tar (The Golden Echo, Chatto & Windus, 1953, David Garnett). The compound also formed the basis of many early explosive devices. Just 12-months prior to the Congress, Joseph
Fels had been sued by the Mercantile Marine Company when their steamer, the SS Haverford, exploded on entering the docks at Liverpool carrying 90,000 pounds of Fels-Naptha Soap. A total of fourteen men were killed (Liverpool Daily Post, 14 July 1906, p10). Interestingly, Lenin’s 5th Congress associate, Rosa Luxemburg identified Russian naphtha as the “most important and vital economic resources of the revolution” (see: Spartacus, No.11, 1918 & The Industrial Development of Poland, 1898, Rosa Luxemburg). Luxemburg was one of the many delegates that accepted the £1700 loan from Fels (about £150,000 in today’s money). Josef Stalin, the young bandit who pretty much held the Naphtha refineries to ransom during his time in Baku as strike leader and extortionist, was another (Stalin’s protection racket played a key role in launching and resolving the strikes at Caucasus Naphtha Company and the Baku Naphtha Company in February that same year, briefly driving up the price of Naphtha). That the Daily News provided an exhaustive review of Gapon’s ‘Story of My Life’, suggests there were strong lines of communication between the paper, it’s editor Alfred George Gardiner, and revolutionary poster-boy, Father Gapon (see: Daily News, 27 Nov 1905). Gapon’s book, purported to have been written by Gapon but most likely to have been ghost-written by the DN’s Brailsford, G.H Perris or David Soskice, was published in November 1905 by Chapman and Hall. Again, it’s an interesting link as it was Chapman and Hall who’d been the first to publish Charles Dickens, the founding editor of the Daily News (the company had also just published Bennett Burleigh’s Empire of the East: Japan and Russia at War 1904-1905). The fact that the newspaper’s Theodore Rothstein, served as Soviet Ambassador in the 1920s may also indicate deeper level of collusion as Lenin is known to have made frequent visits to Rothstein’s home in Clapton Square that same year. The house was owned by Rothstein’s father-in-law, Isaak Kahan, a Russian-born
shipping and banking broker whose student daughter Zelda would become a founding member of both the British Socialist Party and the CPGB.

Lenin lodging with Rothstein’s colleague, Philip Whitwell Wilson at 16 Percy Circus might well be viewed in this context.

Sadly the relationship between Gapon and The Daily News didn’t end as positively as it had begun.

Just as he was securing funds for Gapon’s return to Russia, Brailsford was charged by Police with passport fraud. The Metropolitan Police had found Brailsford and Manchester-based actor, Arthur Muir McCulloch (formerly of 29 Percy Street) having fraudulently obtained three English passports for use by Russian exiles, including one for Maximilian Schweitzer who had died in an explosion at the Hotel Bristol in St Petersburg in February that year (see: Boston Daily Globe 22 May 1905, p.18). The plot dated back to Bloody Sunday itself when Boris Markov, a member of the Socialist Revolutionary Party’s Battle Operation was arrested in St Petersburg. It’s believed Markov was in town to coordinate an attack on several targets including Grand Duke Vladimir Aleksandrovich and Governor-General Trepov. The plot was uncovered when the bomb-maker, Schweitzer blew himself up prematurely at the Hotel Bristol. The Police claimed that the dead man possessed a passport in the name of McCulloch and the pair were subsequently arrested.

Brailsford’s involvement was a serious blow to the Balkan Committee and the Liberals’ ongoing relief efforts in Macedonia. Brailsford admitted full responsibility for his actions, confessing that he had been asked by ‘someone he knew connected with the Russian Revolutionary Movement’ to obtain passports on his behalf. The man, a leading member of Russia’s Constitutional Movement and currently living in exile on the continent, had assured
Brailsford that the passports were to be used as part of a peaceful demonstration. Brailsford and the Foreign Office refused repeatedly to name the man (Manchester Courier 07 June 1905). The passport had been signed by Lord Lansdowne, the man who had also just signed the landmark Anglo-Japanese Alliance Trade Agreement at his home in 1903.

Did Lansdowne and the Foreign Office play a clandestine role in Lenin and Gapon’s 1905 bid to supply rifles and ammunition to the Revolutionaries in Russia? It’s certainly possible. At the time the plot was hatched, Russia was not only at war with Britain’s new trading partner Japan, it was also ramping-up plans to set-up naval bases in the Persian Gulf. On learning of these plans, Lansdowne made vociferous objections in Parliament, declaring ‘without hesitation that his Majesty’s Government would regard the establishment of a naval base or any other fortified port in the Persian Gulf … a very grave menace to British interests’ (Manchester Evening News 06 May 1903). The Dogger Bank Incident the previous October, when the Russian Imperial Navy had fired on a British fishing trawler, killing three British workers, had breathed fresh life into those concerns, and it’s curious to note that that Schweitzer’s fraudulent passport had been issued to McCulloch and signed by Lansdowne at the Foreign Office that same month (October 28th, 1904).

The fact that the SS John Grafton had been purchased for the purpose by Japanese army officer and intelligence agent Akashi Motojiro, makes the whole thing quite plausible. And as far as diplomacy with Japan was concerned, the move would certainly have been a positive one.

Father Gapon survived until March 28th 1906 (O.S) when he is alleged to have been murdered at a villa in Oserki, just outside St. Petersburg, by Socialist Revolutionary and alleged spy, Pinchas Rutenberg — believed to have been in hiding in London’s West End (Daily Express, 13 August 1906). Gapon’s death coincided with the mysterious suicide of Helene de Krebel, aka
Marie Derval, at the Liffen’s Hotel in Pimlico and just a short walk from the Russian Embassy at Chesham Place. By a strange coincidence, the hotel and its owner, insurance agent George Liffen, featured in another mysterious ‘closed room’ suicide in 1911 when 46-year-old Jahanna Smerecka was discovered with gunshot wounds to her head in her guest room. Baptismal and marriage certificates, written in Russian, were found in her possession. The woman had married in Chernivtsi in the Austro-Hungarian Empire (present day Ukraine) and her death came shortly after the arrest of Fraulein Trombooke who had been spying for Russia’s Imperial Forces in Austria, most likely under the supervision of Alfred Redl.

Like Krebel, Smerecka had spent the previous weeks in America, and also like Krebel Police found a handful of mysterious keys wrapped in brown paper in a ‘secret pocket’ of her coat (Leeds Mercury 16 October 1911, p.3). The following year George Liffen, now proud owner of the ‘comfortable and convenient’ Alexandra Hotel in Hyde Park Corner, was unfortunate enough to suffer another foreign casualty in his charge, when newly arrived Italian, Gino Masini was found gassed in his room (Nottingham Journal 21 August 1912, p.5). At the Westminster inquest no parallel was drawn with the previous suicides on his premises, but the danger of ‘loose taps’ was raised. Liffen was able to reassure the jury that the ‘faulty gas taps’ had ‘been seen to already’ and no further questions were asked. *

In his 1933 memoirs Special Branch detective Herbert Fitch describes Krebel as the mistress of Georgian anarchist, Warlaam Tcherkesoff (Varlam Cherkezishvili), a close friend of Sergey Stepniak and an associate of Prince Kropotkin and Rudolf Rocker. The middle-aged woman was found dead in her room on March 14th 1906. It is alleged she had been hounded to her death by revolutionaries who’d suspected her of spying and had been pursuing her actively across America and Europe for some two years or more. A sentence
of death was hanging over her. The waiter at the hotel claimed that on the morning of the 14th Krebel had received a letter ‘which upset her terribly’ and had locked herself in her room. She had ‘wept, shrieked aloud and walked the room as one demented’.

Three weeks later, revolutionary poster-boy, Georgy Gapon was also dead.

But the twists didn’t end there.

Just a month before his death, Georgy’s brother, Sergey Gapon, a captain in the Russian army at Port Arthur in China, was arrested for being drunk and disorderly by Police in Eastbourne. The date was March 3rd 1906 (London Daily News, March 1906 p.12). After a short hearing it was decided that Sergey would be expelled under the Aliens Act and was removed to Lewes Prison to await deportation. Shortly after his release from Lewes Prison, Sergey Gapon was also dead. His body had been pulled from the sea at Hastings on the very day that Helene de Krebel had checked into the Liffen’s Hotel in Pimlico (Derby Daily Telegraph 13 March 1906, p.3)

ONE OTHER CURIOSITY

In the final week of April 1909, Lenin’s Clerkenwell and Iskra associate, Harry Quelch gave a talk at the Gaiety Theatre in Fife entitled, ‘War and the Social Revolution’. John Maclean, who became Bolshevik Consul in Glasgow in the post-revolution period, joined Quelch as speaker, as did George Gunn and Baile Cormie. Quelch warned of the dangers of war and the impact that war would have on the progress of the Social Revolution that was already underway in British Society. In Quelch’s estimation, war was the one safeguard of the capitalist system, and that the great burden and risk of war fell primarily on the working classes. War and its possibilities would hold back the Socialist Movement and hinder Social Revolution. Whilst capitalists could be
heard deprecating war they were ‘all the time producing things that made war inevitable.’ (St Andrews Citizen, May 1st 1909)

In October 1915 Lenin’s 16 Percy Circus host, Philip Whitwell Wilson had used exactly the same incendiary phrase in an article published by *The Fortnightly Review* entitled — yes, you guessed it — *War and the Social Revolution*. And although he may not have arrived at the same bleak conclusion, the basic premise was just the same. In an extraordinary twist Wilson also divulged that much of what he was about to write was based on a ‘private conversation’ he had had with the recently deceased Lord Rothschild (April 1915). Wilson had been accused of abusing his parliamentary privilege in the past so this kind of candour wouldn’t be totally out of character, and it certainly might explain the polarity of views being offered in the finished article.

Was Wilson’s recycling of Quelch’s phrase a coincidence? I suspect not. Just as it probably wasn’t a coincidence that the phrase, and the broad complex payload it carried, was recalibrated and re-served some twenty-five years later by Joseph Goebbels and the Nazi Party as part of their ongoing propaganda programme: *Der Krieg Als Soziale Revolution* (War as Social Revolution).

* That Lenin had met with Gapon ahead of the Bloody Sunday demonstrations, and in view of the way that a dedicated support network was able to spring into action almost immediately after the massacre, one really has to question the spontaneity of the Potemkin and Sunday events. What was the exact nature of the relationship between Lenin, Gapon and Matushenko prior to 1905, and to what extent were the Worker’s and Naval uprisings genuinely grassroots phenomena? By the time the Potemkin mutiny took place, Matushenko, a non-commissioned officer and former oilman, was already an active member of the ‘minority’ (Bolshevik) section of the Social Democratic and Labour Party, although his exact activities are still a matter of debate among historians (see: *The Revolt of the Potemkin, 1908* Konstantin Feldman). Some have Matushenko beginning his revolutionary life as a docker during the violent demonstration of March 16th 1903 in Rostov-on-Don — a student
and worker march led by Samuel Gurvich, Chairman of the Rostov-on-Don Soviet Worker’s Deputies, but carried out under the auspices of Lenin associates Sergei Ivanovich Gusev (Yakov Drabkin) and Alexander Samoilovich Lokerman who visited Lenin in Secheron, Geneva in 1903 as part of the 2nd Congress (Memories of Lenin, Nadezhda Krupskaya, 1933, p.66). Incidentally, Lenin’s library contains a copy of a book that Gapon presented to Lenin on the 3rd day of the congress in 1905. The book is signed, ‘To the most honoured comrade, Lenin by way of good memory by the author, April 14th 1905” (April 24th on the London calendar).

* Can’t help but notice that George Liffen gave his son the name Theophile, which is French-Swiss in origin and an unusual step for a man who appears to have been born in Great Yarmouth. George Theophile Tideswell Liffen served as Second Lieutenant in the Prince’s Own Regiment during the war that followed. The most famous Theophile during the period in which his son was born was Theophile Gautier.

**PHILIP WHITWELL WILSON: A QUICK SUMMARY**

Born in Kendal in the county of Westmorland in 1876 to Isaac Whitwell Wilson, wealthy woollen manufacturer (b. 1833) His grandfather John Jowitt Wilson had served as Justice of the Peace.

Maths graduate of Cambridge and former president of the Cambridge Union Society.

April 1899, marries Alice Selina Collins at Central Falls Congregational Church in Rhode Island, near Boston. She is the daughter of Henry Collins.

Makes an incendiary address to the Liberal & Radical Association on the abuse of Chinese Labour (Slavery) in mines in South Africa (p.7 Shoreditch Observer 28 October 1905)
As a Radical and Liberal MP for St Pancras South from 1906-1910 he enjoyed the support and confidence of many Labour organisations.

Introduces the first *Unemployed Workmen’s Compensation Bill* into Parliament.

Related to Industrialist and Liberal MP Isaac Wilson (director of the Stockton and Darlington Railway)

Journalist for the left-wing *Daily News* (1907-1917) founded by Charles Dickens and owned at this time by George Cadbury. Its editor was Alfred George Gardiner. Serves on the paper from 1910 as Parliamentary Columnist.

Stands as Liberal candidate for Appleby in Westmorland in December 1910.

Council member for Whitefield’s Central Mission, Tottenham Court Road.

1912 sees the publication of Wilson’s *The Beginnings of Modern Ireland*. Published by Maunsell & Company, owned by Belfast publisher, actor and Celtic Revivalist/Gaelic League supporter George Roberts.

In April 1915 he publishes, ‘The Unmaking of Europe’, an uncompromising take on the first five months of the war and the motivations for the war.

October 1915, *War and the Social Revolution* is published.

In 1918 he becomes American correspondent for the *Daily News* before moving to the New York Times.

1920 sees the American publication of Wilson’s *The Irish Case Before the Court of Public Opinion*. Published by Boston Unitarian, D.L Moody’s Fleming H. Revell Company.
In 1927 he publishes the *Greville Memoirs* (based on the politically scandalous diaries of Charles Cavendish Fulke Greville)

Dies in New York in 1956.

**War and Social Revolution** (Philip Whitwell Wilson, *The Fortnightly Review*, October 1915)

Although clearly owing a great deal of debt to Harry Quelch’s 1909 lecture of the same name, Wilson takes adoptions a curiously Victor Grayson-esque take on the war’s impact on the ongoing Social Revolution. In fact, it’s difficult at times to know whether he is backing the war simply on the basis that it will eventually destroy the very capitalist system it props up, or whether he is experiencing the same fears as Quelch about the burden it will heap on the working class. So on balance, it’s fairly balanced. It’s an ‘everybody’s a winner, everybody’s a loser’ kind of thing.

Here is the basic gist:

Forecasts the social and industrial problems that are bound to arise as soon as war finishes. Addresses the war’s ongoing effect on transport and the coal trade. Says the scale of upheaval are “all the more formidable because its causes are obscure and its range incalculable.”

Wilson suggests that some of these “obscure causes” were defective education (‘chronic evils, still un-remedied’) and volatile conditions in South Wale coal mines which bred fault on both sides (meddling trade unions etc).

Repeats Lenin’s belief that real change comes with the desire not to just revolt but the will to organise and govern.
Addresses the ‘mysterious disaffection’ experienced by working men and women despite the very real progress made in provision. Says that although progress has been made existing legislation was ‘inadequate’. Describes it as ‘ambulance work’ providing solutions and relief to only the most desperate cases. These changes ‘scarcely modified the status quo’. The ‘rewards when converted into coin, left little change at the end of the week.’

Wilson leaves some criticism for the hyperbole of the press, aggravating the situation by sensationalizing the greed and waste of a disconnected London ‘regime’.

Interestingly he talks of a very private conversation with Lord Nathaniel Rothschild. He says Rothschild was of the opinion that the war would give the working man bargaining power (through scarcity of labour as a result of enlistment). This would lead to an increase in wages.

Wilson talks of enlistment into the armed forces as a ‘leveller’. It gives rise to closer contact between the class extremes, and to the blurring of boundaries (and taken to its logical conclusion, the complete erosion of British class structures over time.

Wilson posits that it will be impossible to ‘renew the old fabrics of industry’ after the war. Men will not want to go back to their old ways. They will demand a voice (Grayson said much the same thing in his lectures in New Zealand prior to enlisting, repeating Lenin’s belief that it could train and prepare men for the revolution that would follow the war). As Wilson says, “To turn swords back into ploughshares will be a formidable task, but far more delicate will be the handling of immense bodies of men whose minds have been unsettled by the collapse of the old regime and by their one hour of glorious life.”
The article is a well-balanced, cautionary tale warning of an ‘artificial boom and bust’ for the working man and the unemployment and desperation that might well follow.

Unusually Wilson looks to the economic rebuilding opportunities the war will bring: “the reconstruction of devastated areas must be as boldly financed as the war itself” Indemnities “will take years to clear off … loans must be made in the form of houses and goods for Belgium, Poland, Serbia, and the French Provinces.” Warning or celebration? It’s really very difficult to tell.

YOU MAY ALSO LIKE READING

Lenin @ 6 Oakley Square — 1911

https://pixelsurgery.wordpress.com/2015/11/11/lenins-london-oakley-square/

Lenin @ 21 Tavistock Place — 1908

https://pixelsurgery.wordpress.com/2011/11/14/lenin-tavistock/

Lenin @ 30 Holford Square— 1902
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