



Home Previous\_Posts Research Truth\_History Crimeline Contact About

## HOW BIG TECH BRITISH & AMERICAN LAWYERS PROFIT FROM AND FOOL YOU INTO GIVING UP YOUR PRIVACY

By J.J. Johnson, Contributing Writer, WestViews News, Greenwich Village, New York | Opinion | Americans for Innovation | Jun. 21, 2024 | PDF | https://tinyurl.com/2e22ufk7



**Fig. 1 - J.J. Johnson. (Jun. 24, 2024).** How Big Tech British & American Lawyers Fool You Into Giving Up Your Privacy. *WestViews News*, Greenwich Village, New York.

**Jun. 21, 2024**— Few people—as in almost nobody—stop to read the End User License Agreement ("EULA") before clicking "I accept" to install new software.

New bombshell research is uncovering millennia-buried histories of a synergic tie between bankers and lawyers that emerged after the Babylonian Hammurabi Code (ca. 1810-1750 B.C.) ratified usury. For context, the Hebrew Prophets, Christian Church, and Islam have all condemned usury as debt slavery.

Lawyers in lockstep use EULAs to cajole users into giving up their Constitutional rights to privacy and property unwittingly.

Even if attorneys don't commit the Constitution-destroying acts personally, they assume the gu

ilt when they remain silent about this threat to the Republic by their colleagues.

#### THE SCENE OF THE CRIME



**Fig. 2** - Sample End-User License Agreement presented to users prior to the installation of a new software package. The design of these screens has changed with the advent of web site coding, but the underlying verbiage has only become more deceptive.

Lawyers are the least trusted profession for a reason: they ultimately work for British, not American interests as will be shown.

According to *Insider Money* (July 21, 2023), lawyers rate in the Top 5 least trusted professions. But, a closer look at the top five: (1) politicians, (2) telemarketers, (3) lobbyists, (4) real estate agents, (5) lawyers, reveals that lawyers must be catapulted to the #1 least trusted because they dominate three of the other five least trusted categories also, namely politics, lobbying, and real estate.

As officers of the court, lawyers pledge to do the right thing and not abuse the citizenry with deception and insider trading.

These lawyers each swear an oath to protect the U.S. Constitution. That veneer has cracked in America and Britain to reveal lawyers who are sock puppets for the big banks directed from The City of London, Temple Bar Inns of Court (UK), and their U.S. Federal Reserve proxies.

Anglophile Alexander Hamilton, the future first Treasury Secretary, graduated from law school at King's College (now Columbia University). He was instrumental in arranging the funding of America's first four banks:

1781: Bank of North America

1784: Bank of New York

1791: First Bank of the United States

**1799:** Manhattan Bank (Hamilton killed... by The City of London having outlived his usefulness?)

The funding came mostly from The City of London controlled by the Barings, Rothschilds, and London Assurance Company governed by John Barker—the largest insurer of trading ships in the world, larger than Lloyd's of London. Barker was uncle to George Washington's Commissary General John Barker Church who supplied both American and French troops, while the Rothschilds were bankrolling the British and Hessian troops. In short, The City of London was financing both sides of the American Revolutionary War. In short, the entire world was victimized by these British Radknight banks. A better definition of evil cannot be imagined.

Hamilton became America's first Treasury Secretary. Church became a director and leading shareholder of Hamilton's banks and became the richest man in New York.

Hamilton was raised as a British Caribbean merchant-banking opium slaver moored in New York at Crugers Wharf (now Pier 11).

Recently discovered Canadian archives reveal a spy cipher from Canada's spymaster during the Revolutionary War, Sir Colonel George Beckwith. The cipher proves that attorney-banker-soldier-spy Alexander Hamilton was British Spy No. 7. The cipher was not available in the U.S. archives. *See* Canadian Archives, oclc 262476117.

Crugers Wharf (now Pier 11) was a perfect secret communications base for conspiracy with The City of London and British spies in Ottawa.

## AMERICAN LAWYERS AND JUDGES SWEAR AN OATH TO DEFEND THE MONARCHY, WHOOPS: CONSTITUTION

We can prove that these words are met with cynicism and disdain by most lawyers. How?

If you work at a white-shoe firm and learn that your firm is feeding insider trading information to selected people and companies, and you fail to whistle blow, are you not complicit by your silence? The notoriously corrupt reputations of the Southern District of New York, DC Circuit, and the Ninth Circuit in California are cases in point.

If you work for a white-shoe firm that has written a deceptive End User License Agreement, buried in thousands of pages of legalese, that tricks citizens into agreeing to give up their constitutional rights to privacy and property, and you do not whistle blow, are you not complicit by your silence?

American citizens are supposed to be able to rely upon the fidelity and veracity of our officers of the court as regards the U.S. Constitution.

### STRIP ATTORNEYS OF THEIR LICENSES TO PRACTICE LAW WHEN THEY DO NOT REPORT CORRUPTION IN THEIR FIRMS.

A quick way to stop these criminal attorneys is to take away their licenses to practice law.

In America the privilege to practice law is granted by We The People. A law license is not a right bestowed by a monarch from the Inner Temple on Fleet Street, London,

UK, and perpetuated by the American Inns of Court, Pilgrims Society (1902), and Senior Executive Service (SES).

A major grifting system between British and American attorneys is the "Inns of Court." The London branch controls the American branch. The American branch perpetuates the fraud of giving American lawyers the British title "Esquire or Esq." that directly violates Article I, Section 9, Clause 8 of the U.S. Constitution—"No Title of Nobility shall be granted by the United States..."

## WE COUNTED THE EULA PAGES FOR FACEBOOK, GOOGLE, AND SONY (1000+ PAGES EACH)



**Fig. 3** - Web-based end user license agreements for Facebook and Google. Includes the links and sublinks which from a legal contract perspective, the sublinks are all incorporated into the agreement.

Evidently, these "white shoe" lawyers write EULAs that are indecipherable to mere mortals so that we will not read them, and in the process, important constitutional rights are waived by the unsuspecting user— the ultimate "fine print" trick.

Generally, fundamental constitutional rights to privacy and property cannot be waived without clear knowing consent. And some can never be waived, like majority voting, securities and property agreements (statute of frauds), and due process. These abuses are being hidden from the public because white-shoe lawyers are too busy making fortunes by enabling their deep-pocket clients to rig elections, steal property, and harvest data.

Who in the white-shoe law firms is crying foul about these unconstitutional data voyeurs?

The names of these law firms are publicly available, and need to be exposed.

Here are major "big tech" providers of "free" email and messaging services and some of their legal counsels who write these soulless EULAs.

Messaging Technology Client	Attorney Firm	Atto:
8 8 8	<b>7</b> •	(
Gmail, Google, Alphabet	Dechert LLP	1
	Williams & Connolly LLP	
	Perkins Coie LLP	1
	Quinn Emanuel LLP	
Apple iCloud Mail	Wilson Sonsini LLP	
	Fox Rothschild LLP	1
Yahoo! Mail	Skadden Arps LLP	1
Hotmail, Microsoft	Covington Burling LLP	1
AOL	Weil Gotschal LLP	1
Mail.com, IONOS SE	Kirkland Ellis LLP	<b>1 1</b> 2
	Warburg Pincus Law	
	Alcatel SEL AG Law	
	Inns of Court Law, MI6 (UK, US)	<b>1</b> 0
	Crown Prosecution Service UK	Ţ
	CIA Law, DoD, NSA, FBI, DIA	•
NBC Universal, Comcast	Davis Polk LLP	
Facebook, Meta	Fenwick & West LLP	•
Instagram	Cooley Godward LLP	1
WhatsApp	Wilmer Hale LLP	1
	Gibson Dunn LLP	1
	Latham Watkins LLP	<b>a</b> 3
	White & Case LLP	2
	Orrick Herrington LLP	1
	Blank Rome LLP	•
	Sullivan Cromwell LLP	Ų
	Federal Circuit Court of Appeals	
	U.S. Supreme Court	
Oracle i Cloud	Sidley Austin LLP	2
David Kappos, Leader v. Facebook	Cravath Swaine LLP	
$USPTO, SERCO\left(UK\right), QinetiQ\left(UK\right)$	IBM Eclipse Fndn, Chandler Law	
Proton Mail (Switzerland)	CIA, MI6, Sir Berners-Lee, CERN	1
TikTok, Juniper, Kamala Harris	DLA Piper Plc (London UK)	4

**Fig. 4** - British & American white-shoe law firms who write privacy-destroying end user license agreements (EULAs) in lockstep.

How many lawyers does it take to scramble U.S. Constitutional rights to privacy and property?

About 43,311

## EULAS ARE SILENT KILLERS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

This writer has reviewed hundreds of EULAs for almost a decade. While these agreements are generally ignored by the public, we have watched the lawyers who write them increasingly hoodwink the unsuspecting public about important

المعادين بالمادين المادي المادي المادي

property and privacy rights.

#### Yahoo! Mail

For example, Yahoo! Mail first writes:

"[Y]ou retain ownership of any intellectual property rights that you hold in that content."

Fair enough, you think. And, being the good online skimmer that you are, like most of us, you assume (wrongly) that the rest of the words that follow are consistent with this fundamental right. After all, you do not assume Yahoo! is attempting to steal from you. Right?

So, you stop reading.

The Yahoo! Constitutional rights steamroller follows immediately to flatten fundamental principles of privacy and intellectual property:

"...and you grant to us a worldwide, royalty-free, non-exclusive, perpetual, irrevocable, transferable, sublicensable license to (a) use, host, store, reproduce, modify, prepare derivative works (such as translations, adaptations, summaries or other changes), communicate, publish, publicly perform, publicly display, and distribute this content in any manner, mode of delivery or media now known or developed in the future; and (b) permit other users to access, reproduce, distribute, publicly display, prepare derivative works of, and publicly perform your content via the Services, as may be permitted by the functionality of those Services (e.g., for users to re-blog, re-post or download your content)."

We can cite similar wording in the EULAs for all of the "free" messaging services.

#### Gmail

Gmail writes the same as all the others:

"Your content remains yours, which means that you retain any intellectual property rights that you have in your content."

Then, it unwinds that sound moral principle with legalese that in order to use the service you must give Gmail an irrevocable license to your content to host, reproduce, distribute, communicate, publish, publicly perform, publicly display, modify, create derivative works, reformat, translate, sublicense, share, be subject to the third party deals that Google makes with subcontractors.

#### Mail.com

Mail.com (now German IONOS SE AG) scrambles these terms so they are even harder to follow, but they say the same thing. Your personally identifiable content is theirs to collect, sell, share, or disclose "for a [undefined] Business Purpose" at their "sole discretion," including providing it to "Partners" including "Double Click, Google Adwords, Bing, Adobe Analytics & Target (Omniture), Google Analytics, Mouseflow, UIM, Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn."

That is right, you agree that Mail.com can give your data to all their "competitors," thus giving each of them deniability of theft.

Mail.com com buries this rapacious statement in Section 9.2:

"all content, images, and materials appearing on this website (collectively the "mail.com Content") are the sole property of mail.com."

#### **Proton Mail**

Proton Mail follows the other soulless property and privacy destroying marauders:

"We do not assert any ownership over your Contributions."

BUT then in classical gas lighting mode:

"We have the right, in our sole and absolute discretion, (1) to edit, redact, or otherwise change any Contributions; (2) to recategorize any Contributions to place them in more appropriate locations in the Licensed Application; and (3) to prescreen or delete any Contributions at any time and for any reason, without notice. We have no obligation to monitor your Contributions."

#### Microsoft, Hotmail, Outlook

Microsoft (Hotmail, Outlook.com) says similarly:

"[Y]ou grant to Microsoft a worldwide and royalty-free intellectual property license to use Your Content, for example, to make copies of, retain, transmit, reformat, display, and distribute via communication tools Your Content on the Services."

#### LinkedIn

LinkedIn's abuse of privacy and property is carefully snuggled in more we-care-foryou fluffy pillow legalese claptrap. And, its wording in critical points is almost identical to the other providers:

"As between you and LinkedIn, you own the content and information that you submit or post to the Services, and you are only granting LinkedIn and our affiliates the following non-exclusive license [now the gas lighting]:

A worldwide, transferable and sublicensable right to use, copy, modify, distribute, publish and process, information and content that you provide through our Services and the services of others, without any further consent, notice and/or compensation to you or others."

Folks, this means that they can use and sell your information as if they own it.

The lock-step among the big tech EULAs proves that our "white-shoe" law firms are conspiring against the privacy and property rights of the American citizenry, irrespective of your politics.

#### Facebook, Meta. Instagram, WhatsApp

Facebook now cleverly buries their theft of your property and privacy in a schmaltzy blizzard of we-care-for-you categories. For example:

"You retain ownership of the intellectual property rights (things like copyright or trademarks) in any such content that you create and share on Facebook and other Meta Company Products you use."

Again, like Yahoo!, this sounds good.

But two paragraphs later, you give it all to Facebook:

"... you grant us a non-exclusive, transferable, sub-licensable, royalty-free, and worldwide license to host, use, distribute, modify, run, copy, publicly perform or display, translate, and create derivative works of your content."

Laughingly, Facebook writes:

"We don't sell your personal data to advertisers."

They actually make this boldface lie right in their terms of service. Anyone who starts getting ads on Facebook for furniture the moment they mention buying a new sofa knows this is a lie. *See* Facebook Terms of Service, paragraph three.

#### WHEN THE SERVICE IS "FREE," THE PRODUCT IS ME.

In the world of computer software, what you cannot see often hurts you badly. But it is hard for human beings to be outraged by damage that takes time to occur, like data harvesting and abuse of privacy.

Therefore, the unscrupulous white-show lawyers win?

We suspect that even this short article about privacy has eyes glazing. The lawyers who steal your privacy and property rights count on this response.

#### "I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO HIDE."

Stop giving in to the brain washing that destroys your rights in exchange for the false comfort of safety and security.

#### WHAT DO YOU HAVE TO LOSE? YOUR REPUBLIC.

A typical retort from the average person about this abuse of privacy and property is: "I don't have anything to hide."

Respectfully, this is absolutely the WRONG response if you consider yourself to be a thinking person.

America's founders were fed up with the British troops barging unannounced into their houses and rummaging through their papers and belongings.

Besides taxation without representation, unlawful search and seizure was another chief complaint of American patriots against the British monarchy and its two-tiered system of justice for thee, but not for me.

The British penchant to control the world has been sourced to the formation of England itself in 1066 AD. Within months the new Norman (French) king William the Conqueror codified usury in the nascent British Empire by chartering "Radknight" merchant-bankers to run an independent City of London square mile international

banking and trading zone in 1067 AD. The City of London controls the world, including America, to this day.

Benjamin Disraeli (1804-1881; two-term prime minister 1868, 1874-1880):

"London is a modern Babylon."

#### JUSTICE FOR ME, NOT FOR THEE

The uncontrolled seizure of our digital lives is every bit as serious today as the British troops invading our homes in 1776. Now, the British hide behind digital firewalls to avoid being identified. And yes, we literally mean the British. But, that is for other articles.

Case in point: British Liberal Democrats Sir Nick Clegg and Baron Lord Richard Allan run Facebook-Meta-WhatsApp-Instagram globally.

Just weeks ago, astoundingly, Sir Eric Pickles was written into US law by Congress.

The leadership of British intelligence MI6 and the Crown Prosecution Service in the Trump-Russia hoax is now public record, as is the control of the U.S. Patent Office by Crown-controlled SERCO & QinetiQ.

Tyrants always milk the citizenry for every last ounce of value. That is what Babylonian merchant-bankers started doing in Babylon after the Hammurabi Code in 1755 BC first codified usury into law.

Today, digital information is one of their key currencies. However, to gather that data, they started breaking our laws on property and privacy to steal it.

Such law breakers are criminals who must be stopped.

#### PROTECTING PRIVACY AND PROPERTY IS A BI-PARTISAN ISSUE

This issue is not partisan for those who respect the American Republic. Both our elected representatives and our appointed bureaucrats swear oaths to protect our Constitutional rights to privacy and property.

If they do not, then they are being treasonous, if not seditious.

#### ASK THESE 7 QUESTIONS OF YOU**R** CANDIDATES:

1. Are you for or against the seizure of our online content that is gathered without our conscious knowledge? What do say to the white-show law firms who are abusing our Constitutional rights?

- 2. Do you receive donations and support from digital content abusers, their law firms, and their financiers? (Note: Most of these tech abusers are interlocked with Vanguard, BlackRock (iShares), Fidelity (FMR), State Street, Goldman Sachs, T. Rowe Price, JPMorgan, Rothschild, Warburg, Barclays, Credit Suisse, Deutsche Bank, Baring, among others.)
- 3. If so, will you send back those donations, including from their interlocked surrogates?
- 4. Will you work to stop deceptive, Bill of Rights-destroying End User License Agreements?
- 5. How would you fix the broken attorney discipline system? Will you disbar attorneys who help violate our Constitutional rights?
- 6. Would you prohibit licensed attorneys from partisan lobbying and elected office? (Almost half of Congress are attorneys from these white-shoe firms. Practically the entire Department of Justice is peopled with white-show attorneys.)
- 7. Will you protect American inventors and stop the British-lawyer control of the U.S. Patent Office via Crown SERCO & QinetiQ.



Reprinted with permission from:



https://westviewnews.org/

**Note:** Certain editorial modifications have been made for AFI and AIM readers. See links to the originals below.

#### Sources:

HTML: <a href="https://westviewnews.org/2024/06/24/how-big-tech-british-american-lawyers-profit-from-and-fool-you-into-giving-up-your-constitutional-rights-to-privacy-and-property/westview-news/">https://westviewnews.org/2024/06/24/how-big-tech-british-american-lawyers-profit-from-and-fool-you-into-giving-up-your-constitutional-rights-to-privacy-and-property/westview-news/</a>

#### PDF:

https://westviewnews.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/WestViews-News\_JUNE\_2024.pdf#page=12

#### **RESOURCES:**



**Fig. 5**—Anne Pimlott Baker. (2003). The Pilgrims of the United States - A Centennial History, p. 149, PDF p. 85. Profile Books (2003). Kissinger was a vice president of the Pilgrims Society in 2003, Anne Pimlott Baker, p. 194, PDF p. 94, at May 6, 2003. Henry Luce III was president of the Pilgrims Society in 2003 and was publisher of *Time, Fortune, Sports Illustrated* magazines. The influence of the Pilgrims BBC/Sarnoff/Empire Press Union et al is obvious. Reproduced for educational purposes only. Fair Use relied upon.

# CAN AMERICA UNCHAIN HERSELF FROM THE PILGRIMS SOCIETY'S 24PT. PLAN TO ANNEX AMERICA?

We did not heed <u>Lillian</u>

<u>Scott Troy's warnings in</u>

1913.

We let her be deported and robbed by England without protest.



Will we listen to her now, 111 years later?

Time will tell.



#### "WOULD ĀNNEX ĀMERICĀ."

#### WOULD ANNEX AMERICA.

Suffragette Says This Is Purpose of British Peace Mission.

LONDON, May 12.—Mies Lillian Scott Troy, a novelist and magazine writer from San Francisco, who interested her-self in obtaining the release from prison of Miss Zelie Emerson, a Michigan suf-fragette, has written a letter to Senator O'Gorman, which contains some extraor-

U Gorman, which contains some extraordinary charges against Andrew Carnegie and other noted Americans.

Senator O'Gorman should receive the communication tomorrow. In it Miss Troy makes the extraordinary allegation that the annexation of the United States to England is the ultimate object of the peace movement promoted by Mr. Carnegle and others.

gle and others.

The accusations by Miss Troy became known here today. They are not taken seriously.

known here today. They are not taken seriously.

She names Elihu Root and the late John Hay as prime movers in behalf of the peace propaganda, since the inauguration of which, she declares, the relations between the United States and Germany and the United States and Japan have become strained.

Miss Troy suggests it is to England's interest to see the United States weakened in conflict with Germany or Japan. She asserts that while Theodore Roosevelt was in Africa the duke of Connaught's aid suggested he should influence the United States to hand the Philippines over to Japan; that the idea of the arbitration treaties was not Taft's, but that of Carnegie and King Edward, who sent it to America to be labeled "Made in the U. S. A.;" The Hague tribunal is essentially a British product, instituted simply for the ultimate undoing of the United States, and that Dr. Nicholas Murray Butler, president of Columbia University, and others are rewriting American history to disparage the heroes of the Revolution.

Fig. 6 - Editor: (May 13, 1913). Would Annex America [says Lillian Scott Troy], p. 20. The Commercial Appeal (Memphis, Tennessee).


Note: Columbia University president Nicholas Murray Butler served as president of the Pilgrims **Society** of the United States "branch" from 1903-1945. He oversaw the organizing of the British First Imperial Press Conference, 1909, oversaw the recruitment of Franklin D. Roosevelt, David Sarnoff (RCA, NBC), J. Edgar Hoover (FBI), Anglican Bishop Henry C. Potter into the Pilgrims Society.

Elihu Root was co-founder of the Pilgrims Society (1902); former U.S. Secretary of War (1899-1904); U.S. Secretary of State (1905-1909); NY U.S. Senator (1909-1913); founding president, Carnegie **Endowment for International Peace (1910); Nobel** Prize (1912); founder, Council on Foreign Relations

(1918). He was also personal attorney to J.P. Morgan and Andrew Carnegie.

John Hay was U.S. Ambassador to the Court of St. James where he promoted annexation of America by Britain. He once described (banker) war "as necessary as it is righteous" and described another war as "a splendid little war"--he was a Pilgrims Society merchant-banker warlord par excellence.

#### AMERICAN HEROINE LILLIAN SCOTT TROY



**Fig. 7** - Editor. (May 13,1913). Would Annex America [says Lillian Scott Troy], p. 20. *The Commercial Appeal* (Memphis, Tennessee).

... "[T]he idea of the arbitration treaties was not Taft's, but that of [Andrew] Carnegie and King Edward [VII] who sent it to America to be labeled "Made in the U.S.A.;" The Hague tribunal is essentially a British product, instituted simply for the ultimate undoing of the United States, and that Dr. Nicholas Murray Butler, president of Columbia University, and others are rewriting American history to disparage the heroes of the Revolution." (Emphases added.)

Who was American <u>Nicholas Murray Butler</u>? Click this link to read his full Pilgrims Society-Columbia University biography. Click here for a PDF.



THE BRITISH PILGRIMS SOCIETY THROUGH THE CITY OF

LONDON AND THE BABYLONIAN

RĀDHĀNITE (RADKNIGHTS) MERCHANT-BANKER PAGANS WHO

CONTROL THE BRITISH CROWN.

Their flunkies doing their bidding in the USA during the American Revolution was British Spy No. 7 Alexander Hamilton's American banks, notably JPMorgan, Bank of America, Bank of New York Mellon, State Street along with asset managers like BlackRock, Fidelity, T. Rowe Price and Vanguard, who are controlled by the British Crown and the Rothschilds in the City of London via the Monarch's "Golden Share."

Don't believe that the British run America? Just look at the false flag they are trying to orchestrate in Poland right now. Poland is historically one of the favorite <a href="https://chokepoints">chokepoints</a> of the British Empire to try and take down Russia to seize control of Russia's 11 times zones of resources, again. When will we learn?



**Fig. 8**—The City of London is controlled by the Pilgrims Society who carry on the pagan control of the Rādhānite merchant-bankers of Babylon. "The City of London Corporation" was chartered in 1067 after the coronation of William I, William the Conqueror, on Dec. 25, 1066 AD at Westminster Abbey.

NOTICES: This blog and its links may contain opinion. As with all opinion, it should not be relied upon without independent verification. Think for yourself. No claims are made to the properities of third parties. For educational purposes only. Fair Use relied upon. This blog relies upon the social networking inventions of Leader Technologies, Inc., Columbus, Ohio that are used under license. U.S. Patent Nos. 7,139,761, 7,925, 246, & 8,195,714.