Create Blog Sign In



Americans For Innovation

... and against intellectual property theft

Constitutional rights are not negotiable

Attention: Searches this blog, Donna Kline Archive, FB Cover-up, Origins of Facebook's Technology and LeaderDocs.

Google™ Custom Search

Q

Monday, October 28, 2013

ANGELA MERKEL SHOULD LOOK PAST OBAMA TO THE FACEBOOK CLUB

FACEBOOK'S "DARK PROFILES" ACQUIRING ALL THE WORLD'S PERSONAL DATA, VORACIOUSLY

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | UPDATED MAR. 21, 2013 POST-SCRIBD CENSORSHIP

USPTO OFFICER (FORMER EMPLOYEE OF FACEBOOK ATTORNEY WHITE & CASE LLP) ADMITS SECRET "ALIAS" EMAIL **ACCOUNTS**

NONE OF THESE SECRET **EMAILS HAVE BEEN** PRODUCED IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK FOIA REQUESTS

(NOV. 3, 2013 UPDATE)-AFI

investigators uncovered

yesterday a 2012 Patent Office directive, issued by KATHRYN W. SIEHNDEL (CLICK HERE), FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) Officer, instructing employees not use the intellectual property of third parties (like Leader Technologies') without permission.

UPDATE NOV. 4, 2013: OBAMACARE CLAIMS SCANDALOUSLY THAT LEADER'S TECHNOLOGY IS "OPEN SOURCF."

> **OBAMACARE WEBSITE IS STOLEN** PROPERTY (CLICK HERE)

Only Facebook's attorneys would make this claim. This is more strong evidence that Facebook's attorneys are colluding with the



FIG. 1-KATHERINE LOSSE, MARK ZUCKERBERG'S FORMER GHOST WRITER ${\bf CONFIRMED~"DARK~PROFILES"-"Facebook's~secret~profiles,~master}$ passwords... and a 'hot or not' app: Insider's book reveals Zuckerberg's 'twisted' quest for total domination." The Daily Mail (UK); Photo: theboykings.com.

> By the time of the Siehndel memorandum, Patent Office Director, DAVID J. KAPPOS, had already posted on his USPTO Facebook Page 300 times (CLICK HERE)—without asking Leader Technologies for permission to use their intellectual property. Kappos' impropriety occurred while his office was in the middle of processing Facebook's request for reexamination of Leader's patent.





Administration.

11/5/13 UPDATE: Obama's Chief
Technology Officer, ANEESH CHOPRA, held
large amounts of stock in Facebook,
Microsoft, IBM and other Facebook Club
members. He even took a \$200-300
million investment from Microsoft before
Obama hired him. (See Comments)

Facebook was judged to be in "literal infringement" of Leader's patent on 11 of 11 claims. This is the highest form of patent infringement. See: "HOW HEALTHCARE.GOV USES THIRD-PARTY WEBSITES AND APPLICATIONS" (captured from the Obamacare website at 09:42 AM EST, Nov. 04, 2013).[10]

JUST SAYING IT DOESN'T MAKE IT SO. BUYING OFF FEDERAL JUDGES TO GET YOUR WAY IS A CRIME.


DID THE WHITE HOUSE ORDER THE U.S. PATENT OFFICE TO STEAL LEADER'S TECHNOLOGY TO SUPPORT RE-ELECTION, OBAMACARE & BANKER BUDS LIKE GOLDMAN SACHS, MORGAN STANLEY AND JPMORGAN?

Equally troubling, Siehndel admits that USPTO personnel have secret "alias" accounts (p. 15) (CLICK HERE), yet she has not produced a single copy of these messages in any Leader v. Facebook FOIA inquiry. While the directive looks innocent enough on the surface (its for security and privacy), observers of the Facebook Club now know that this is their blanket excuse for all abuses of security and privacy.

Siehndel is the Patent Office FOIA Officer who has failed to disclose her conflict of interest ties to Facebook's

attorneys in Leader v. Facebook, and who is stonewalling current FOIA inquiries (see below).

Technologists know that "alias" email message handling is a playground for the unscrupulous to mask misconduct in a blizzard of technospeak acronyms like SMTP, SRS, NDN, RCPT and SPF. All that laypeople really need to know here is that while the destination of an "alias" email could be one's inbox, it could just as easily be forwarded to a secret Gmail, Yahoo or Facebook account.



This practice opened the USPTO system up to mischief and concealment of collusion. If caught, Siehndel and her Facebook Club will cite this policy as "full disclosure" of their practices. Count on it. These lawyers have fabricated an excuse for every unethical deed they do. Just observe their tap dancing on President Obama's "you can keep your plan" promise. Their Leader v. Facebook conduct is more of the same confiscation of public trust and property.

CONFISCATION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY: ABUSE OF TRUST

Indeed, the millions of cancelled healthcare plans are just as much a citizen's personal property as Leader Technologies' U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 for social networking. In fact, the two are combined now that the Obamacare website is relying on Facebook links—Leader Technologies' invention and property.

In like manner, each American who has just had his or her healthcare cancelled spent time, energy and resources (their intellectual property) to acquire their plan—a plan their President promised dozens if not hundreds of times they could keep.

FIRST UPDATE

COMPLAINT AGAINST PATENT OFFICE FILED WITH INSPECTOR GENERAL

EXECUTIVE BRANCH CONFISCATED LEADER TECHNOLOGIES' INVENTION TO PUBLISH USPTO DIRECTOR NEWSLETTER—WHILE PRETENDING IMPARTIALITY & MAKING FALSE INSURANCE PROMISES

(NOV. 1, 2013 UPDATE)—Department of Commerce Inspector General, TODD J. ZINSER, received a new complaint today against the Obama Administration's abuse of private





CONGRESS CONTACT LOOKUP

Contacting the Congress

FOLLOW BY EMAIL

Email address... Submit

BLOG ARCHIVE (New, 1/20/14)


- **2014** (5)
- **2013** (28)
 - ▶ December (5)
 - ► November (4)
 - ▼ October (2)

ANGELA MERKEL SHOULD LOOK PAST OBAMA TO THE FACEBO...

JAMIE DIMON'S WEB OF ASSOCIATIONS ALL LEAD TO THE ...

- ► September (4)
- ► August (2)
- ▶ July (2)
- ▶ June (1)
- May (1)
- ▶ April (1)
- ► March (1)
- ► February (2)
- ▶ January (3)
- **2012** (6)

BARACK OBAMA'S DARK POOLS OF CORRUPTION



CLICK HERE FOR WASHINGTON'S ETHICAL DISEASE DISCOVERIES RE. FACEBOOK "DARK property.

The complaint (CLICK HERE)[08] alleges that Obama's political appointee, PATENT OFFICE DIRECTOR, DAVID J. KAPPOS, confiscated the social networking patent of Columbus, Ohio-based innovator, Leader Technologies, Inc., for his personal newsletter to 10,000+ Patent Office employees. Kappos set up his Facebook page <u>during</u> the Leader v. Facebook proceedings. This conduct violates common sense and the Executive Branch's "Standards of Ethical Conduct" on fairness and impartiality. Inventors must be able to rely upon an impartial Patent Office. "That trust was resoundingly abused in our case," said a Leader spokesperson.

FIG. 2—"President Obama Promising That You Can Keep Your Health-Care Plan, Again and Again [23 times]" New York Magazine, Oct. 29, 2013.[09]

Pres. Obama made this promise: Oct-2008 (1x), Jun-2009 (3x), Jul-2009 (4x), Aug-2009 (6x), Jan-2010 (1x), Mar-2010 (6x), Apr-2010 (1x), Sep-2010 (1x).

Timing-wise, Kappos posted to his Facebook Page 302 times between May 2010 and Nov. 2012 (www.facebook.com/uspto.gov). By May 2010 President Obama had already made 22 of 23 assurances to Americans that "you can keep your current insurance," according to New York Magazine.[09] Likewise, Barack Obama carried 114 links on his Facebook Page to his political organization, Organizing for Action, between 2007 and today (www.facebook.com/barackobama).

CONFISCATION OF PROPERTY AND RIGHTS BY A GOVERNMENT IS ILLEGAL

In short, this Administration relied on confiscated social networking property invented by Columbus-based innovator, Leader Technologies, to promote its political agenda. That agenda included confiscation of the rights of Americans to keep their health insurers.

ORIGINAL POST

(OCT. 28, 2013)—In 2012, Mark Zuckerberg's former speech writer, Katherine Losse, revealed in her book The Boy Kings, that since 2006 Facebook has had a feature called "Dark Profiles," which creates secret accounts for people who have never signed up.[01]

This means that if your picture is ever tagged with your name, a secret, "dark" data gathering account about you is created—one that you did not authorize and will never see. Then, every time your name is mentioned anywhere in the world, or your picture appears anywhere, that data will be immediately associated with your Dark Profile. Creepy, but true. A Congressional Briefing was published after Losse's book was published.

IT MAY BE LEGAL, BUT IS IT MORAL? - WHEN "LEGAL" IS TOXIC

The Facebook Club lawyers are practicing a KGB/Moscow-developed tactic called "lawfare." The Soviets developed the technique to undermine Western democracies during the Cold War. Now that research has been repurposed. These unscrupulous lawyers have assured the Facebook executives that all this is perfectly "legal" since all 1 billion users on Facebook gave up their privacy rights when they signed on. Legal? Maybe. Moral? Surely not. This is a good example of why lawyers cannot be given power to run governments. Their fungible ethics will eventually drag a society over the cliff's edge.

WHAT YOU DON'T KNOW CAN HURT YOU

Are you tempted to think, so what, how can my Facebook data hurt me? Think again. President Obama currently has over 47 million "likes" on Facebook. The improper way that he obtained this disproportionately high number aside, elections can be manipulated with these Dark Profiles, since there are only 1 billion Facebook users, but 7.1 billion people in the world. [06]

What others write about you, and who tries to friend you, is a gold mine for demographers who extrapolate intimate details about your life, including your voting preferences. These secret profiles can predict how you'll vote, and what your hot buttons are, with surprising accuracy.

If you are a fence sitter, that's when you'll get a personal visit from an Obama for America local organizer. That person will already know your issues, and will have an information kit customized just for you. If there are enough of you in a single voting bloc, say left-handed Californians, don't be surprised if an Executive Order favoring left-handed Californians suddenly appears. President Obama only beat Mitt Romney by 5 million votes. So, these "Dark Profile" fence sitters are enough to swing an election.

STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT

P0015"

We see. We "like." We steal. STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT. www.fbcoverup.com

WILL HUMANKIND EVER LEARN? Facebook's Orwellian doublespeak about property and privacy (theft) merely repeats the eventual dehumanization of the individual under MAO's Red Star, Stalin's SOVIET Hammer & Cycle and Hitler's NAZI Swastika. Respect for the inalienable rights of each individual is a bedrock value of democracy. The members of the Facebook Cabal abuse this principle at every opportunity. They evidently believe that they deserve special privileges and are willing to lie, cheat and steal in order to treat themselves to these privileges.

ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!



Click image above to download a poster-quality PDF optimized for a 11in. x 17in. (ledger-size) poster. America should not be in the business of cheating its entrepreneurial investors simply because the cheaters buy off judges with the money gained from their theft. Such permissiveness is obscene.

LEADER V. FACEBOOK BACKGROUND

Jul. 23, 2013 NOTICE: DonnaKlineNow! has gone offline. All her posts are available as a PDF collection here (now updated, post-Scribd censorship).

Mar. 20, 2014 READER NOTICE: On Mar. 7, 2014, all of our documents linked to Scribd were deleted by that "cloud" service using the flimsiest of arguments . Some of our documents have been there for two years and some had almost 20,000 reads.

Since that data was not equally available to the opposition, use of this data about you amounts to election manipulation. The fact that so many foreigners are associated with Facebook amounts to foreign influence on U.S. elections, which is illegal. This undue influence hurts every American. Ditto for the sovereign elections in Germany, France or any other country.



Photo: The Irish Times

THE FACEBOOK CLUB IS CREATING BIG BROTHER ON STEROIDS

Now, add your healthcare (Obamacare), financial (Wall Street), telephone and online data (NSA) to your Dark Profile, and you have the ultimate Big Brother file on every person on the planet. What do you think Facebook is storing in its new

Swedish data center—outside the reach of US law?[2] Ask yourself why not a single investigator from the mainstream media has doggedly investigated Facebook executives to get to the bottom of their theft of intellectual properties from Leader Technologies and others, their repeated breaches of security and their now ubiquitous intrusions on people's privacy expectations. Is it because the mainstream media is drunk on the Facebook ad revenue Kool-Aid?

THE FACEBOOK CLUB IS CONSOLIDATING POWER, AS WE SPEAK

Is it any wonder why the Facebook Club is currently pulling out all the stops to achieve their data gathering objectives, before their agenda is exposed? We believe this hidden agenda explains why the pundits who are not part of the Facebook Club are currently shaking their heads and asking what is going on. In fact, Bob Woodward in yesterday's Face the Nation interview with Bob Shieffer expressed his alarm at the out-of-control "secret government" being exposed by the NSA snooping.[03]



FIG. 3—BOB WOODWARD, ASSOCIATE EDITOR, THE WASHINGTON POST, discussing his concerns about a "secret government" in America on Face the Nation, Oct. 27, 2013.[03]. Video: CBS

BOB WOODWARD'S "SECRET GOVERNMENT" = THE FACEBOOK CLUB

We believe that the privacy laws in Europe are proving to be a stumbling block to the Facebook Club's agenda. Enter the NSA. If, for example, the Facebook Club can learn what Angela Merkel's cyber policy plans are, then they can stay several steps ahead of her with the goal of fully incorporating the German and French economies into their global financial and electioneering plans.

LEADER V. FACEBOOK REVEALED THAT AMERICAN JUSTICE CHECKS AND BALANCES ARE BROKEN

The revelations of the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption scandal have exposed intimate, cross-fertilized relationships among a gaggle of Washington, New York and Silicon Valley law firms, Wall Street, the Justice Branch, the Executive Branch, telecommunications and mainstream media. [5] The checks and balances firewall that traditionally separated these groups has crumbled. The schemes appear to have hatched at Harvard in the late 1980's. Who better than a gaggle of narcissistic Harvard Law graduates to break the U.S. Constitution using their inside knowledge? John Adams is surely praying for their souls right

George Orwell wrote in 1984 that one knows one is in a totalitarian state when telling the truth becomes an act of courage.

All the links below were updated Mar. 20, 2014 (many thanks to our volunteers!)

- Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's Censored Federal Circuit Filings (Archive)
- 2. Brief Summary of Leader v. Facebook
- 3. Backgrounder
- 4. Fenwick & West LLP Duplicity
- 5. Instagram-scam
- 6. USPTO-reexam Sham
- 7. Zynga-gate
- 8. James W. Breyer / Accel Partners LLP Insider Trading
- 9. Federal Circuit Disciplinary Complaints
- 10. Federal Circuit Cover-up
- Congressional Briefings re. Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption
- 12. Prominent Americans Speak Out
- 13. Petition for Writ of Certiorari
- 14. Two Proposed Judicial Reforms
- 15. S. Crt. for Schemers or Inventors?
- 16. Attorney Patronage Hijacked DC?





- 17. Justice Denied | Battle Continues
- 18. FB Robber Barons Affirmed by S. Crt.
- 19. Judicial Misconduct WALL OF SHAME
- 20. Corruption Watch "Oh what webs we weave, when first we practice to deceive"
- 21. Facebook | A Portrait of Corruption
- 22. White House Meddling
- 23. Georgia! AM 1080 McKibben Interview
- 24. Constitutional Crisis Exposed
- 25. Abuse of Judicial Immunity since Stump
- 26. Obamacare Scandal Principals are

We recommend to the Europeans that they not focus on Obama per se, but rather on Obama's handlers - the Facebook Club. Obama is only a pawn on the chessboard.

EUROPE: FOCUS ON THE FACEBOOK CLUB FOR MOTIVE & HIDDEN AGENDA



FIG. 4—GERMAN CHANCELLOR ANGELA MERKEL'S personal cell phone was hacked by the U.S National Security Administration (NSA). Is a U.S. "secret government" (Bob Woodward, Oct. 27, 2013) leading the unprecedented snooping worldwide? We believe the answer is yes; it's Obama's Facebook Club. Photo: AP

We suggest that Europeans focus on



Graphic: Yosamite

Lawrence "Larry" Summers, James W. Breyer, John P. Breyer, Thomas G. Hungar, Gordon K. Davidson, Theodore B. Olson, George Soros, Alisher Usmanov, Sheryl Sandberg, Yuri Milner, Accel Partners LLP, Reid Hoffman, Peter Thiel, Marc Andreessen, Donald K. Stern, Thomas J. Kim, Preetinder "Preet" Bharara, David J. Kappos, Robert F. Bauer, Anita B. Dunn, Eric H. Holder, Toni Townes-Whitley, World Bank, IMF, Morgan Stanley, Goldman Sachs and the gaggle of law firms, and their in-tow federal judges and bar associations; law firms named Gibson Dunn, Cooley-Godward-McBee Strategic, White & Case, Blank &

Rome, Fenwick & West, Orrick Herrington, Weil Gotshal, Latham & Watkins, Sullivan & Cromwell and Perkins Coie.

THE FACEBOOK CLUB IS ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY

LAWYERS. Lawvers are skilled at manipulating the levers of power, but are woefully inadequate at administrating power. We believe lawyers have an inherent conflict of interest in holding office and running bureaucracies while they hold an active law license. They are effectively playing on both sides of the ball. In any other profession such conflicts would not be permitted. Attorneys have bored their way into the woodwork of American democracy like borer beetles.



FIG. 5-THE FACEBOOK CLUB EFFECT ON AMERICAN DEMOCRACY. Wood Borer Beetles: Virginia Tech

STARK CONTRAST: IF MARK **ZUCKERBERG IS TO BE BELIEVED.**

Zuckerberg testified under oath that he programmed the first Facebook site in Jan. 2004 by himself, in "one to two weeks," while studying for sophomore finals, chasing girls and drinking heavily.[07] By contrast, the U.S. government had three years and \$678 million to build the Obamacare site. Obama should have just hired the boy wonder and given him a six-pack. Who is lying about the sources of their website engineering?

On July 29, 2013 Facebook was found guilty on 11 of 11 counts of stealing Leader Technologies' social networking invention, but the federal judges, incl. the Chief Justice, protected their personal pre-IPO investments in Facebook, instead of judging rightly.

WHY HASN'T AN INDEPENDENT PRESS EXPOSED THIS FRAUD?

THE OBAMACARE DESIGN DOCUMENT IS **BETWEEN 10,000 AND 33,000 PAGES** DEPENDING UPON WHO'S COUNTING

If you doubt that the current leadership crisis in Washington DC is attorney-fabricated, just look at Obamacare. It is currently between 10,000 and 33,000 pages.[04] That's a lot of legal fees (good for lawyers) and a non-implementable instruction manual (bad for U.S. citizens).

Engineers doubt that the Obamacare site can be fixed in a few weeks, as we are currently promised, because the wrong people are driving the bus.

"TECH SURGE" IS A SILLY ENGINEERING NOTION

America needs engineers and problem solvers, not more lawyers concocting silly notions like a "tech surge." In programming, more is generally not better. In fact, more is generally bad when one is building the first version of a program. Developers know that perhaps 20 qualified engineers is optimal to build an initial operating environment. Too many cooks spoil

the broth in software research and development. Therefore, while the notion of a "tech surge" may mollify the masses, it is a silly engineering notion.

intertwined in the Leader v. Facebook scandal

27. S.E.C. duplicity re. Facebook

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America



Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook's Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this

firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. Click here to read her article "Everybody hates whistleblowers." Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012. Here's an excerpt:

> "Skillful manipulation of the firm's extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage."

This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook's chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ullyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner than instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis'

POPULAR POSTS



BOYCOTT NCAA MARCH MADNESS? COPYRIGHT-GATE Constitutional rights advocates demand that NCAA stop its copyright infringement in social

media; ask Congress to preserve Zuckerberg's ...



Dear S.E.C. THE REAL FACEBOOK - A PORTRAIT OF CORRUPTION SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook "pump and dump" scheme in 2008? SEC counsel

appears to have failed to disclose his

LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT EXPOSES A CONSTITUTIONAL

Leader v. Facebook judicial misconduct exposes a constitutional crisis The theft of Leader Technologies' patent by

If the R&D were run properly by engineers and designers instead of lawyers, no "tech surge" would be needed now. It is evident that this supposed surge is nothing more than a smokescreen to get more Facebook Club programmers involved building Facebook Club data siphons and backdoors. Obama's former chief of staff Rahm Emanuel said: "You never want a serious crisis to go to waste." What better excuse than a "tech surge" to inject your people into an otherwise closed programmer pool?

OBAMACARE WEBSITE FIASCO: MORE MISDIRECTION BY THE **FACEBOOK CLUB**

Such mismanagement had to be intentional. Even first year project management students would have done a better job. One must work to screw up the website implementation this badly. NASDAQ also had similar "gliches" when the Facebook IPO began




FIG. 6-THE FACEBOOK CLUB HAS CREATED DARK PROFILES to collect data on every person on the planet, then feed those profiles with "inadvertent" data breaches from Verizon, IRS, NSA, Microsoft, Yahoo, AOL, AT&T, IBM, Google, etc. etc. - all "mistakes that won't happen again." (ONCE THEY'VE HAPPENED ONCE, THE DAMAGE IS DONE.) Graphic: http://www.helago-cz.cz/kestazeni/

trading. Curiously, all the Facebook insiders cashed out under that smokescreen to the tune of \$6+ billion. The hidden agenda of the Facebook Club must be exposed and stopped before the personal information of everyone on the planet is consolidated in their unelected, private hands.

Don't sacrifice your property, privacy and security on the Facebook Club altar. "Free" is never free.

Footnotes:

[01] FACEBOOK "DARK PROFILES:" "Facebook developed 'Stalker, Dark Profiles' says exemployee in new book" by Ramkumar Iyer, Tech2, Jun. 29, 2012. Accessed Oct. 28, 2013 http://tech2.in.com/news/social-networking/facebook-developed-stalker-dark-profiles- savs-exemplovee-in-new-book/320552>.

[02] FACEBOOK SWEDISH DATA CENTER: "Inside the Arctic Circle, Where Your Facebook Data Lives" by Ashlee Vance. BusinessWeek, Oct. 4, 2013. Accessed Oct. 28, 2013 http://www.businessweek.com/articles/2013-10-03/facebooks-new-data-center-in- sweden-puts-the-heat-on-hardware-makers>.

[03] BOB WOODWARD "SECRET GOVERNMENT:" Bob Woodward, Editor, The Washington Post. Face the Nation, Oct. 27, 2013 http://youtu.be/RmazbexZq5M?t=31s.

[04] 10,000 TO 33,000 PAGE OBAMACARE DESIGN SPECIFICATION: "How many pages of regulations for 'Obamacare'?" by Glenn Kessler. The Washington Post, May 15, 2013. Accessed Oct. 28, 2013 http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/fact-checker/post/how- many-pages-of-regulations-for-obamacare/2013/05/14/61eec914-bcf9-11e2-9b09-1638acc3942e_blog.html>.

[05] LEADER V. FACEBOOK COURTS IGNORED LAW; HELD FACEBOOK INVESTMENTS.

Leader Technologies files a Petition For Writ Of Certiorari at the U.S. Supreme Court on Nov. 16, 2012. Accessed Oct. 29, 2013

http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2012/11/leader-technologies-files-petition- for.html>.

[06] HOW DID 47 MILLION OBAMA FB "LIKES" APPEAR? "U.S. Politics Must Quit Facebook - Facebook agenda is a clear and present danger to American democracy." Americans For Innovation, Sep. 17, 2013 http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/09/us-politics-must-quit-facebook.html

Facebook, and the effe...



LEADER V. FACEBOOK WALL OF SHAME Judges go to jail for far less serious misconduct:

Facebook users should pay

Leader fees voluntarily: its the right thing to do since Facebo...



Dear S.E.C. WHITE HOUSE SCANDAL SPREADS TO LEADER V. FACEBOOK SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook

"pump and dump" scheme in 2008? SEC counsel appears to have failed to disclose his conflic...



PATENT OFFICE REMOVES CRITICAL LEADER V. FACEBOOK DISCLOSURE **ITEMS**

USPTO conduct parallels the document obstruction

by the IRS Fig. 2 - On Jun. 15, 2013 Congressman Darrell Issa held up a blacked-ou...



A COCKSURE FACEBOOK Facebook waived their right to respond to Leader Technologies' U.S. Supreme Court petition AFI Insights | Contributing

Writers | AMERI...



WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED INTO SUPPORTING OBAMACARE BY HIS LEADER V. FACEBOOK MISCONDUCT? Enlarge banner image New,

Jun. 28, 2013: Undermined Checks & Balances Between Executive & Judicial Branches ...



MASSIVE WASHINGTON CORRUPTION EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK Bi-partisan citizen group appeals to Congress to RESTORE PROPERTY

CONFISCATED BY widespread federal corruption incl. interference by Nancy...



WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION

"Dark pools" used for currency for bribes, coercion and undue influence Rigged Leader v. Facebook, likely crashed NASDAQ ...

EDITORIALS

- 1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012
- 2. Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook's case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of question shout for

[07] MARK ZUCKERBERG'S "I BUILT FACEBOOK IN 'ONE TO TWO' WEEKS"

TESTIMONY. Mark Zuckerberg Deposition, Apr. 25, 2006, ConnectU LLC v. Zuckerberg et al, 04-cv-11923-DPW (D.Mass. 2004) http://www.fbcoverup.com/docs/zuckerberg/2006-04-25-Mark-Zuckerberg-Deposition-Apr-25-2006-ConnectU-LLC-v-Zuckerberg-et-al-1-04-cv-11923-DPW-D-Mass-2004.pdf.

[08] INSPECTOR GENERAL COMPLAINT. Dept. of Commerce COMPLAINT to the Inspector General against the U.S. Patent Office, incl. former Director David J. Kappos; Judges Stephen C. Siu, Allen r. MacDonald, Meredith C. Petravick; and FOIA Officer Kathryn W. Siehndel, Oct. 31, 2013 http://www.fbcoverup.com/docs/foia/2013-12-09-USPTO-CORRUPTION-COMPLAINT-TO-COMMERCE-INSPECTOR-GENERAL-Supplement-Dec-9-2013.pdf.

[09] PRES. OBAMA'S HEALTHCARE PROMISES. "Video: President Obama Promising That You Can Keep Your Health-Care Plan, Again and Again" by Dan Amira. New York Magazine, Oct. 29, 2013 http://videos.nymag.com/video/lf-You-Like-Your-Plan-Supercut.

[10] OBAMACARE MAKES FALSE, FACEBOOK CLUB "OPEN SOURCE" INTELLECTUAL

PROPERTY CLAIMS. "How HealthCare.gov uses third-party websites and applications." HealthCare.gov Privacy Policy, Nov. 4, 2013, 09:42 AM"

http://www.fbcoverup.com/docs/obamacare/HealthCare.GOV-Privacy-Policy-Nov-4-2013.pdf#page=5>.



22 comments:



Jill Amblin October 29, 2013 at 10:02 PM

Why does this blog continue to repeat the same lie over and over and over?

"On July 29, 2013 Facebook was found guilty on 11 of 11 counts of stealing Leader Technologies' social networking invention."

Absolutely. Completely. False. First, the leader trial was a civil trial. There is absolutely no concept of "guilty" in a civil trial.

But more fundamentally, leader sued for patent infringement and patent infringement only. This has nothing to do with theft. Consider the epic patent war involving Eli Whitney and the cotton gin over 150 years ago. Lyon, completely separately and independently, developed a gin that infringed on Whitney's patent. No theft. No copying. But he was "guilty" of patent infringement because his gin operated in the same way that Whitney's patented gin did. THAT is the difference.

If Leaders code was actually stolen, they would have at least tried to sue for trade secret misappropriation , copyright infringement, outright theft, etc. They didn't, because the company knew very well that this wild fantasy this blog has now constructed never took place. It's a very simple concept.

I just don't know what else I or anyone else can do to educate this blog. I'm sorry but I have truly tried.

Reply

Replies



K. Craine October 30, 2013 at 7:16 AM

How many billable hours did you burn concocting this? Priceless.

You are again arguing form over substance. That's all you've ever done in this case because the facts condemn.

Comparing this circumstance to Eli Whitney, Lyon and the cotton gin is apples and oranges and laughable. In that case, both men actually invented something similar, independently. Facebook on the other hand lost in court on this subject of prior art. They could not prove that Zuckerberg or anyone else had independently invented the same thing, Facebook lawyers did no

attention, Dec. 27, 2012

3. Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic (and less contentious), Dec. 21, 2012

OUR MISSION

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfulness,

... our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney "dark arts," destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury's admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored shocking new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook's appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to

produce a single line of Facebook's code as proof of your premise, despite their call for such information to hackers worldwide. So, your cotton gin analogy is stillborn.

The fact is, Zuckerberg is a self-confessed hacker who never invented anything in his life. He was a 19-year old wet-behind-the-ears Harvard sophomore who jumped into bed with the PayPal Mafia, supported by Larry Summers. That is why your Thomas G. Hungar and Gibson Dunn LLP, ?Crooksin-Chief?, are working so hard to hide his 28 hard drives and Harvard emails after Facebook's experts admitted their existence to Paul Ceglia's attorney Dean Boland in 2012. Whoops. The cat is out of the bag.

Hear the dogs?



K. Craine October 30, 2013 at 7:16 AM

Jill wrote: "I just don't know what else I or anyone else can do to educate this blog. I'm sorry but I have truly tried." No "Jill Amblin" has ever posted on this site until Monday. My, my, "Jill" gets frustrated quickly. Shielding that den of thieves from their day of reckoning must be a great burden.

[the sound of growling dogs grows nearer]

Reply



Jill Amblin October 30, 2013 at 7:02 PM

I would have to respectfully disagree I have followed this blog for a year, and Donnas blog prior to that. There has never been a shred of evidence presented that Facebook withheld any evidence at trial. Conjecture? Tons. Actual evidence? None.

Likewise on the argument that Facebook copied anything. There has simply never been any evidence in the slightest that supports this argument. And certainly not at trial.

So at the end of the day, I cannot understand the argument that the facts "condemn" Facebook. It's actually the exact opposite!

Reply



Jill Amblin October 30, 2013 at 9:33 PM

And let me clarify. If Facebook had claimed that hard drives and emails were lost, you would have seen a motion to compel by Leader. I have reviewed the docket and no motion was ever filed. That absolutely kills the arguments of this blog in its tracks. At a minimum, if Facebook claimed that it didn't have certain evidence, there would be discovery responses that said they didn't have certain evidence. Again, none of this very fundamental evidence has ever been provided by this blog or Donna's. The claim that Facebook withheld evidence is just fantasy.

The same is true for the "theft" claim. This blog, nor Donna's, has never posted a shred of evidence to support the claim that Facebook actually copied or stole leader's code. Show us one sentence from the trial or discovery that establishes, or even suggests, this inference. It doesn't exist.

I don't work for Facebook. I don't represent Facebook. But you can't just throw out wild conspiracy theory after wild conspiracy theory without evidence to back it up.

Reply

Replies



Rain Onyourparade October 31, 2013 at 6:36 AM

My guess is you work for Facebook's lawyers Cooley Godward LLP or Gibson Dunn LLP or maybe Orrick Herrington LLP. You say "I have reviewed the docket and no motion was ever filed." Nice try... again. LOL. We are not going to help you. You know you are liars and evidence concealers. We've got the goods on you and curiosity is killing you. Good. Hear the dogs?

After trying the haughty language in the past, you now write "I respectfully disagree." You are a lawyer. Normal people don't talk like that when they know they are lying -- only attorneys do. You are so respectfully nicey nice in front of the judge, then profligate outside the courtroom. Such hypocrites you are. Your profession has become toxic.

"Wild conspiracy theories?" LOL. Nice try. The fish aren't biting. We're not

just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on Leader v. Facebook until justice is served, but we also welcome news and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!



AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more

posting constraints than Donna's WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at amer4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.



going to help you with what you are dying to know. Your laywer games are going to undo you. All we have to do now is step back and watch you destroy yourselves in corruption. The vultures are coming home to roost.

Reply



K. Craine October 31, 2013 at 7:25 AM

We just received this email. Since it relates to the topic of the ETHICS OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH, it is directly relevant (and likely related, we believe) to the corruption exposed by this website. Here it is:

-----M ESSAGE-----

Subject: FW: Federal employees' insurance info is 3 clicks away

What's wrong with this picture? The Obamacare website is forcing people to jump through hoops before they can find out what their rates would be. By contrast, Federal employees can find out their rates with a simple Google search, and three clicks.

Try it.

- 1. Google "FEHBP" (acronym for "Federal Employee Health Benefits Program")
- 2. Click "Plan Information"
- 3. Click on your State
- 4. Click on the desired "2014 Rates" document

Instead, they spent \$678 million in a no-bid contract with Michelle Obama's Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitley, CGI Federal, who donated \$47 million to Obama for America... and the site doesn't work.

This is what happens when conflict of interest ethics rules are not followed.

Reply



Darren November 3, 2013 at 1:01 PM

Why would USPTO Director violate USPTO's own rules and start a Facebook page with all the news, past and present dangers there are with Facebook.

The USPTO Rules state, "All unauthorized use of USPTO resources is prohibited. The following activities, while not an exhaustive

list, are specific examples of unacceptable uses of the PTOnet, USPTO Wireless Network, and USPTO $\,$

A ISs:

• Using resources for commercial purposes, for financial gain, or in support of private business

activities."

Since by asking and encouraging the USPTO employees, and, inviting the public to use Facebook to follow the Directors blog, the USPTO was and still is "supporting the business activities of Facebook. There is no need to log onto Facebook to connect with the USPTO, whatsoever! All you have to do is bookmark the page of the Directors Blog and you are there. No sign ins or chance of losing your privacy or getting malware!

In May of 2010 CBS ran a story and listed 5 reasons on the Dangers of Facebook

- Your information is being shared with third parties
- Privacy settings revert to a less safe default mode after each redesign
- Facebook ads may contain malware
- Your real friends unknowingly make you vulnerable
- Scammers are creating fake profiles

An article by The Huffington Post by Alexis Kleinman on June 5, 2013 states, "If you click on the wrong link on Facebook a virus may find its way into your bank account and drain it of all your money."

During the same 2013 time period The New York Times, Bits Blogs informs people of how a 6 year-old virus is all over Facebook right now.

We have already seen the massive fraud and deceit being committed against Leader Technologies, with such things as a judge ignoring his own court order in order to justify his ruling. We have found a judges spouse working for Facebook, and judges owning Facebook stock without disclosing this potential conflict of interests!

These are just a few items that make the connection with the Facebook cabal astonishing!

It just continues to show the corruption that we citizens have to contend with daily! Is this the "honesty" we are trying to teach our young? But, alas, that is for another blog. 8-0

Reply

Replies



CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES

"CANON 2: A JUDGE SHOULD AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN ALL ACTIVITIES"

GALLERY OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT



Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed

Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and



blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader's prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. (Read Leader's May 20, 2010 motion here.) He also permitted the jury to ignore the Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury

Instruction No. 4.7 here.) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer





K. Craine November 4, 2013 at 5:03 AM

You are right Darren, there is absolutely no justification for the USPTO Director to be using Facebook and encouraging all 10,000+ employees (incl. the judges) DURING its own Leader v. Facebook reexamination proceedings. This is a cover-up.

Reply



Rain Onyourparade November 4, 2013 at 6:00 AM

Just read through the Obamacare "Privacy Policy" just now. Made some notes of some of the more hilarious wiggle room for any kind of mischief Obama's bitheads want to get up to. For example:

https://www.healthcare.gov/privacy/

1. THIS IS DECEPTIVE: "HealthCare.gov doesn't collect any personally identifiable information (PII) about you during your visit to our website unless you choose to provide it to us. We do, however, collect information from visitors who read, browse, and/or download information from our site."

MY COMMENT: Ahhhmmm. These two sentences are ambiguous if not downright contradictory. We don't and we do. Classic Orwellian doublespeak.

2. THIS IS DECEPTIVE: "Healthcare.gov never collects information for commercial marketing or any purpose unrelated to our mission and goals."

MY COMMENT: "our mission and goals" is wide enough for a frieght train.

3. THIS IS DECEPTIVE: "The HealthCare.gov staff analyzes and reports on the collected data from these tools. The reports are available only to HealthCare.gov managers, members of the HealthCare.gov communications and Web teams, and other designated staff who need this information to perform their duties."

MY COMMENT: This list of approved analysts is wide enough for a frieght train.

4. THIS IS DECEPTIVE: "HealthCare.gov keeps the data from our measurement tools as long as needed to support the mission of the website."

MY COMMENT: "support the mission of the website." An unscrupulous definition means FOREVER. Since this President will lie to us to our faces, why should we believe this for a

5. THIS IS DECEPTIVE: "we will safeguard the information you provide in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. Section 552a)."

MY COMMENT: They didn't even provide a link to the Privacy Act. Besides, what layman is going to check the Act. And even if we are able to read it, what's the point since Obama pulled a bait and switch on us to our faces over our existing health plans. Why should we trust him with all this reference to legal standards that he is obviously not following?"

6. THIS IS DECEPTIVE: "All uses of Web-based technologies comply with existing privacy and data safeguarding policies and standards."

MY COMMENT: The Facebook Club practically screams: "Privacy is dead." Obama is propped up by the Facebook Club. So this statements means there are NO SAFEGUARDS.

7. THIS IS DECEPTIVE: "HealthCare.gov will keep data collected long enough to achieve the specified objective for which they were collected."

MY COMMENT: Who defines the "objective?" Obama's Facebook Club that proudly proclaims the "death of privacy" and has built big servers in Sweden to collect all the data that Obama and NSA employees give them.

This disclosure is a cruel deception meant to fool the unsuspecting.

Reply

Replies



Rain Onyourparade November 4, 2013 at 6:05 AM

Found another gem:

"How HealthCare.gov uses third-party websites and applications.

"As a response to OMB Memo M-10-06, Open Government Directive,

Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. See his Sep. 14, 2009 Order. Facebook's entire onsale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the "heavy burden" of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)



Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See analysis of Judge Lourie's T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO.

Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in Group One v.



Hallmark Cards to the evidence. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned-a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks. Judge Moore failed to

follow the longheld precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in Pfaff v. Wells



Electronics, Inc.—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned

HealthCare.gov uses a variety of technologies and social media services to communicate and interact with citizens. These third-party website and application (TPWA) tools include popular social networking and media sites, open source software communities, and more. Examples include Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube."

Obamacare ADMITS using Leader Technologies' social networking invention!!! Did they get permission?

Reply



K. Craine November 4, 2013 at 6:29 AM

Rain.

- .

You may have uncovered the Obama / Facebook hidden political agenda in the Leader v. Facebook corruption:

"...open source software communities, and more. Examples include Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube."

The administration is claiming that Leader Technologies' invention is "open source." This has been Facebook's claim from Day 1 of the Leader v. Facebook patent infringement trial. But, Facebook lost on this claim since they did not prove that prior art existed. The only thing Facebook accomplished was buying off the federal judges and Chief Justice Roberts to confiscate Leader's property by judicial fiat.

So, now we see the method in their madness. They NEEDED the Leader Technologies inventions to be "open source" to be able to make this claim in the Obamacare website!

The chickens are coming home to roost.

Reply

Replies



K. Craine November 4, 2013 at 8:10 AM

Great find, Rain! You get an attaboy. We have researched this further and added a new Nov. 4 Update Sidebar and Footnote 11 on this Privacy Policy. This may become another SMOKING GUN for the Congressional Committees since these are FALSE AND DECEPTIVE STATEMENTS in the Obamacare site. Leader Technologies has more than one patent, and on the patent they sued Facebook on in Leader v. Facebook, Facebook was judged to be in "literal infringement" on 11 of 11 claims. This is the highest form of infringement. This "open source" intellectual property statement in the Obamacare privacy policy is scandalously untrue and deceptive.

We encourage all our readers to bring this false Obamacare claim to the attention of your Senators, congressperson and media.

Reply



K. Craine November 4, 2013 at 10:26 AM

How could we have missed this? On July 29, 2013 President Obama actually honored "Civic Hacking."

http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2013/07/29/honoring-open-government-and-civic-hacking-champions-change

These are more Orwellian attempts to desensitize the American populace to intellectual property theft - - - always in the name of innovation and progress. Hacking is illegal and disrespectful to honest innovators who actually do the hard work to create what these people are being honored by our President for stealing.

Copying is easy. Innovating is hard.

Entrepreneurship is off markedly from 2008. No wonder. Why should an innovator bother doing the hard work to create something that is just going to be stolen by Obama's "Civic Hackers?" Beam me up Scottie.

Reply

 a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest. Judge Wallach

continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with



provide him with Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach's behalf. See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now! Judge Wallach also failed to police his court's violation of Leader's Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of judge's chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook's sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court's Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit's own Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test which Judge Lourie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Lourie helped write that opinion. Group One test omission analysis.



Rain Onyourparade November 5, 2013 at 11:51 AM

After finding the boldface lie about the Obamacare website being "open source" (thanks for the attaboy!), I started reading the site's Privacy Policy in detail. Now I discover without even having to dig that hard, that our nation's first Chief Technology Officer, Obama appointee ANEESH CHOPRA cannot even read his own checkbook properly. No wonder the Obamacare website is a disaster. Keep reading, this isn't hard to follow...

The Policy cites "OMB Memo M-10-06, Open Government Directive" as the justification for their "open source" claims. That made me wonder, What additional lies will we find if we start scratching this itch?

Here's the White House link to OMB Memo M-10-06, Open Government Directive, which was published on December 8, 2009:

http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/memoranda_2010/m10-06.pdf

The first paragraph says "This Directive is informed by recommendations from the Federal Chief Technology Officer..." Obama had appointed ANEESH CHOPRA to that position which became official on May 21, 2009.

So, the White House is laying its false claims at the feet of ANEESH CHOPRA, whose SENATE CONFIRMATION HEARING occured on May 19, 2009. Here it is from the Government Printing Office:

http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CHRG-111shrg54288/pdf/CHRG-111shrg54288.pdf

CHOPRA lists his political donations on p. 37. He has just one listing for donations relative to Pres. Obama:

"\$2,750 Obama for America (2007-8)"

However, the Federal Election Commission shows:

http://www.fec.gov/finance/disclosure/norindsea.shtml

4/19/2007 Obama For America \$250 2/07/2008 Ohama For America \$250 4/14/2008 Obama For America \$250 7/31/2008 Obama For America \$1000 8/27/2008 Obama For America \$200 8/27/2008 Obama For America \$200 Subtotal=\$2150 7/01/2008 Obama Victory Fund \$1000 8/15/2008 Obama Victory Fund \$1000 Subtotal=\$2000

TOTAL ANEESH CHOPRA donations to OBAMA = \$4150

He misrepresented his donations to Obama by 34% in his own Senate Confirmation Hearing. He couldn't even add up 8 items in his checkbook? And we put America's information technology infrastructure into his hands? Incompetent or Deceptive?

Reply

Replies



K. Craine November 5, 2013 at 11:53 AM

Thanks AGAIN Rain!!! Great find. Since documents that expose the Facebook Club have an uncanny habit of disappearing from the web, we have re-posted the White House OMB document.

http://www.scribd.com/doc/181805763/OMB-Memo-M-10-06-Open-Government-Directive-Dec-8-2009

Reply



Rain Onyourparade November 5, 2013 at 12:26 PM

OBAMA'S CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER AND FACEBOOK'S COOLEY GODWARD LLP ATTORNEY COLLABORATED DURING THE LEADER V. FACEBOOK PROCEEDINGS.

BINGO! The conflict of interest merry-go-round continues. According to his Senate Confirmation Hearing (PAGE 40), Obama's Chief Technology Officer, ANEESH CHOPRA, served "as a member of the Obama Transition Team working on technology, innovation and government reform policy memoranda."

http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CHRG-111shrg54288/pdf/CHRG-111shrg54288.pdf



Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obfuscated when the court's ruling was challenged by an amicus curiae brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in Leader v. Facebook. Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close

association with one of Facebook's largest shareholders. Microsoft, who is



a Director of The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer. Additionally, the DC Bar revealed in a written statement that Clerk Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia. [Editorial: What does that make the Federal Circuit with its

location within in a stone's throw

of the White House? A self-

governing state?]

Judge Randall R. Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, chief judge responsible for the (mis)conduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed

to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle



Facebook's Leader v. Facebook law firm, COOLEY GODWARD LLP, had partner DONALD K. STERN who was also on the Obama Transition Team. Stern "advised" the Justice Department on judicial appointments, incl. two of the four Leader v. Facebook judges (Leonard P. Stark and Evan J. Wallach).

AFI posted this Cooley press release on May 29, 2013:

http://www.scribd.com/doc/144442377/Cooley-Partner-Donald-K-Stern-Named-Advisor-to-U-S-Department-of-Justice-Transition-Team-Cooley-LLP-Dec-5-2008

Evidently, CHOPRA colluded with Cooley Godward LLP and the Justice Department to kill Leader Technologies' patent. This is the THIRD direct tie between Facebook and the Obama Administration. The other two are Obama's two personal legal counsels from Perkins Coie LLP, Robert F. Bauer and Anita B. Dunn.

Reply

Replies



K. Craine November 5, 2013 at 2:15 PM

Rain, I would hate to be a corrupt person with you on my heals. Great work. Thank you.

Reply



K. Craine November 5, 2013 at 3:32 PM

Aneesh Chopra defined "open source" in response to a question from Sen. John D. Rockefeller IV on Page 116 of his Senate Confirmation Hearing Testimony. He wrote:

"Open Source: From my perspective, this refers to the method by which software is developed--typically through a collaborative approach. This means often unrelated individuals or organizations work together, often in an ad-hoc manner, to deliver software that is of common interest. Key to this model is that the entirety of the intellectual property encourages sharing and collaboration (for example, under the General Purpose License) and allows for the distribution of source code or other related artifacts."

Leader Technologies spent \$10 million and 145,000 man-hours to develop social networking. By contrast, Zuckerberg claims to have built Facebook in "one to two weeks" by himself, while studying for sophomore finals. Obamacare's claim to have used only "open source" software is another boldface lie to the American people.

Reply



dave123 November 5, 2013 at 7:45 PM

Each morning at a meeting of UBS's interest-rate-derivatives desk in Tokyo, Mr. Hayes would change his status on his Facebook page to reflect his daily desires for Libor to move up or down Facebook than added the feature to tag certain friends or groups, (bankers lawyer Shady traders etc.) The ponzi scheme had everything it needed mark zuckerberg ,They all new that facebook was stolen and the cod David Kirkpatrick and Henry Blodget new about libor manipulation as far back as 2004 IN the early days of FACEBOOK david Kirkpatick set up libor manipulation in England under the disguise of doing an investigation The FBI knows of this and got Mark Zuckerberg to run a giant spy machine in Palo Alto, you see robert muller you were not the only one that got the emails on how facebook was stolen. JP Morgan new facebook was stolen and that little cunt Reid Hoffman Sean Parker (Facebook extortionist?)BILL GATES knows that facebook was stolen its called insider trading you little shit Zuckerberg is an admitted forger and an admitted hacker, Orrick, the firm Eduardo Savrin accused of conspiring with Zuckerberg to deprive him of his shares when he stole the idea facebook. Orrick perjury and conspiring along with Zuckerberg to protect his stolen empire, You little slut Orrick you new that facebook was stolen. Zuckerberg is a self-confessed hacker who never invented anything in his life.

that little slug lisa simpson BLACK-MAILED their way in to facebook. The timing of Zuckerberg's donation was questioned by some as a move for damage control to his image, New Jersey Governor CHRIS CHRISTIE and Newark Mayor CORY BOOKER you new how Mark Zuckerberg stole facebook you got the emails on how he stole facebook. the FBI new of this and that facebook was stolen and the NSA and Snowden and now Putin. you see i sent a copy of the emails to NSA

Reply

with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. See analysis of Judge Rader's undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook.

Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and

evidence for



Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.

See "Cover-up In Process At The Federal Circuit?" Donna Kline Now! Sep. 17, 2012.

Leader v. Facebook Legal Research Links

NOTICE: Opinion

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification.

This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges to which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.

AFI LOGO (with text)



dave123 November 5, 2013 at 7:46 PM

RABOBANK to pay 1.2b for libor manipulation ,the U.S. justice department allows the bank to avoid criminal prosecution in exchange for its continued co.operation in the investigation of libor manipulation. More than two dozen robobank employees in six offices in europe and U.S.A and Asia were involved in this sham Fraud scam cheat. David Kirkpatrick and Henry Blodget new of this David Kirkpatrick set up libor manipulation info on facebook and David Kirkpatrick and Henry Blodget new that facebook was stolen. LIBOR The strategy wasn't a secret. Each morning at a meeting of UBS's interest-rate-derivatives desk in Tokyo, Mr. Hayes would change his status on his Facebook page to reflect his daily desires for Libor to move up or down

JP Morgan and the justice dept in a proposal the bank said it wanted to limit any possible criminal exposure, justice department spokesman Brian Fallion said i have no comment on the rumours [JP Morgan spokesman Mark Komblau declined to comment JP Morgan new facebook was stolen they got the emails on this?????

MARK PINCUS: Michael Arrington said that Zynga intentionally worked with scam advertisers Pincus said: So I funded [Zynga] myself but I did every horrible thing in the book to, just to get revenues HOFFMAN: Business Insider is reporting Hoffman and Mark Pincus also participated in the investment in facebook and new that FB was stolen. In 2005 Thiel created Founders Fund, a San Francisco based venture capital fund. Other partners in the fund include Sean Parker, Ken Howery, and Luke Nosek. Thiel formed friendships with other students at Stanford, many of whom contributed to the Stanford Review. These include Keith Rabois, David O. Sacks, and Reid Hoffman.Hoffman now even admits publicly to having coached the 19-year old Zuckerberg at Harvard you new facebook was stolen you suck up little shit hoffmann

THE FBI KNOW THAT FACEBOOK IS STOLEN AND ARE LYING AND SPYING???????

Reply




K. Craine November 7, 2013 at 6:40 AM

Kathleen Sibelius testified to Congress last night that they have over 200 fix items on their punch list. Folks, for those of you who do not know how software programming works, a list of 200 non-trivial items on a punch list for a large project like this is HUGE. ENORMOUS. GARGANTUAN. It's like admitting that the drywall, flooring and ceiling in your new house were installed wrong! Besides that, it is "working."

Even more scandalous is her testimony that their "end-to-end" security testing is being done everyday with LIVE, CONFIDENTIAL CUSTOMER DATA. This doesn't even count her admission that they don't do background checks on "navigators" who have access to America's confidential healthcare information. This is a fundamental breach of the HIPAA laws on healthcare privacy and confidentiality.

It appears that Obama's first Chief Technology Officer, Aneesh Chopra, served us incompetently.

Reply



Newer Post Home Older Post

Subscribe to: Post Comments (Atom)



AFI LOGO (no text)



CORRUPTION WATCH LIST

Faces of the Facebook Corruption (PDF) (currently being updated after the Fri. Mar. 7, 2014 Scribd censorship of this document:

Here is the cast of characters in Leader v. Facebook. We encourage you to report their corrupt activities to this site and others, like Lawless America. Feel free to communicate anonymously in any way in which you are most comfortable. The attempt of these people and their organizations to corrupt American justice and commerce cannot be tolerated. Vigilance. We will expose them. See Congressional Briefings (currently being updated after Scribd censored the documents on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014).

A. Facebook's law firms:

- 1. Fen wick & West LLP (Facebook securities and patent law firm; former Leader Technologies counsel; attempted an appearance in Leader v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts waiver from Leader prior to representing Facebook)
- 2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook;

- McBee Strategic energy stimulus partner; Obama Justice Dept. advisor; former employer to patent judges)
- 3. Blank & Rome LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; former employer to patent judges)
- 4. White & Case LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed former employer to Patent Office Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer involved in Leader v. Facebook)
- 5. Gibson Dunn LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed counsel to the Federal Circuit; undisclosed protégé of Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.; undisclosed former employer to Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara, U.S. Attorney currently persecuting Paul Ceglia in U.S. v. Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg))
- 6. Orrick Herrington LLP (longtime Facebook law firm and destroyer of evidence for the cabal in Winklevoss v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. Facebook)
- Weil Gotshal LLP (Federal Circuit counsel in Leader v. Facebook; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's undisclosed former client)
- 8. Latham & Watkins LLP (Facebook Director James W. Brey er's counsel; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's husband, Matthew J. Moore's new law firm)
- 9. Federal Circuit Bar Association ("FCBA") (Federal Circuit's bar association; second largest in the U.S.; Facebook's law firms extert much influence in its policy and activity, incl. Fenwick & West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Weil Gotschal LLP; Facebook's large shareholder, Microsoft, is a director; Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly is an officer; FCBA made an appearance in Leader v. Facebook to oppose the amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam, former Director of Network Architecture at Sun Microsy stems, in favor of Leader Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks)
- 10. DC Bar Association
- 11. Perkins Coie LLP (Facebook's
 "rapid response enforcement
 team;" law firm for Obama's chief
 counsels, the husband and wife
 team of Robert F. Bauer and
 Anita B. Dunn; Bauer was
 identified on Aug. 1, 2013 as
 having directed the IRS targeting
 of the Tea Party)
- 12. Stroz Friedberg (Facebook's

 "forensic expert" who
 manipulated the data in Paul
 Ceglia v. Mark Zuckerberg, and
 who first revealed the existence of
 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and

Harvard emails that they told Leader Technologies in 2009 were "lost")

B. Facebook attorneys & cooperating judges:

- 13. Gordon K. Davidson (Fenwick; Facebook's securities and patent attorney; Leader Technologies' former attorney)
- 14. Christopher P. King (sometimes Christopher-Charles King, Fenwick)
- 15. Theodore B. Olson (Gibson Dunn)
- 16. Thomas G. Hungar (Gibson Dunn)
- 17. Eric H. Holder, Jr. (Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
- 18. James Cole (Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
- 19. Tony West (Associate Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice; 2008 Obama California Campaign Manager)
- 20. Robert F. Bauer (Obama
 Attorney; White House Chief
 Counsel; directed IRS targeting of
 the Tea Party; formerly and
 currently employed by Perkins
 Coie LLP, Facebook's "rapid
 response enforcement team;"
 spouse is Anita B. Dunn)
- 21. Anita B. Dunn (Obama
 Attorney; White House Chief
 Counsel; husband Robert F. Bauer
 directed IRS targeting of the Tea
 Party, formerly employed by
 Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook's
 "rapid response enforcement
 team")
- 22. Mary L. Schapiro (former Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission (S.E.C.); holds investments in 51 Facebook Club basket funds)
- 23. James "Jamie" Brigagliano (former Deputy Director of the Division of Trading and Markets at the Securities and Exchange Commission; Mary L. Schapiro's chief lieutenant on "dark pool" rule making)
- 24. Joseph P. Cutler (Perkins Coie)
- 25. David P. Chiappetta (Perkins Coie)
- 26. James R. McCullagh (Perkins Coie)
- 27. Ramsey M. Al-Salam (Perkins Coie)
- 28. Grant E. Kinsel (Perkins Coie)
- 29. Reeve T. Bull (Gibson Dunn)
- 30. Heidi Keefe (Cooley)
- 31. Michael G. Rhodes (Cooley; Tesla Motors)
- 32. Elizabeth Stameshkin (Cooley)
- 33. Donald K. Stern (Cooley; Justice Dept. advisor)
- 34. Mark R. Weinstein (Cooley)
- 35. Jeffrey Norberg (Cooley)

- 36. Ronald Lemieux (Cooley)
- 37. Craig W. Clark (Blank Rome)
- 38. Tom Amis (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
- 39. Erich Veitenheimer (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
- 40. Roel Campos (Cooley; former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission at the time of the infamous Facebook 12(g) exemption)
- 41. Lisa T. Simpson (Orrick)
- 42. Samuel O'Rourke (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
- 43. Theodore W. Ully ot (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
- 44. Amber H. Rover, aka Amber L. Hagy aka Amber Hatfield (Weil Gotshal LLP; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's former client)
- 45. Edward R. Reines (Weil Gotschal)
- 46. Trish Harris (DC Bar Association)
- 47. Elizabeth A. Herman (DC Bar Association)
- 48. Elizabeth J. Branda (DC Bar Association)
- 49. David J. Kappos (former Patent Office Director; former IBM chief intellectual property counsel; ordered unprecedented 3rd reexam of Leader Technologies' patent; Obama political appointee)
- Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara (U.S. Attorney Ceglia v. Zuckerberg; formerly of Gibson & Dunn LLP; protects Zuckerberg)
- 51. Thomas J. Kim (SEC Chief Counsel)
- 52. Anne Krauskopf (SEC Special Sr. Counsel)
- 53. John G. Roberts, Jr. (Chief Justice, U.S. Supreme Court)
- 54. Jan Horbaly (Federal Circuit, Clerk of Court)
- 55. Kimberly A. Moore (Judge, Federal Circuit)
- 56. Matthew J. Moore (Latham & Watkins LLP; husband of Judge Kimberly A. Moore)
- 57. Kathryn "Kathy" Ruemmler (Latham & Watkins LLP; White House counsel)
- 58. Evan J. Wallach (Judge, Federal Circuit)
- 59. Alan D. Lourie (Judge, Federal Circuit)
- 60. Randall R. Rader (Chief Judge, Federal Circuit)
- 61. Terence P. Stewart (Federal Circuit Bar Association)
- 62. Leonard P. Stark (Judge, Delaware U.S. District Court)
- 63. Richard J. Arcara (Judge, N.Y. Western District, Ceglia v. Holder et al)
- 64. Allen R. MacDonald (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)

- 65. Stephen C. Siu (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
- 66. Meredith C. Petravick (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
- 67. James C. Payne (U.S. Patent Office)
- 68. Kathryn Walsh Siehndel (FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)

C. Facebook puppet masters:

- 69. President Barack Obama
 (appointed Leonard P. Stark to
 the judge's seat in Delaware
 Federal District Court eight days
 after Stark's court allowed
 Facebook to get away with jury
 and court manipulation of an onsale bar verdict which was
 attained without a single piece of
 hard evidence; Barack and
 Michelle Obama were evidently
 protecting their 47 million "likes"
 on Facebook)
- 70. Lawrence "Larry" Summers (Harvard President who aided Zuckerberg's light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; "Special Advisor" to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy—and Yuri Milner/DST/Asmanov's money used to purchase Facebook stock)
- 71. James W. Breyer, Accel
 Partners LLP; Facebook
 director; client of Fenwick & West
 LLP since the 1990's; apparently
 received technology from other
 Fenwick clients that was shuffled
 to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader
 Technologies' inventions)
- 72. David Plouffe; directed Obama's 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described "statistics nerd;" likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coii LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
- 73. McBee Strategic (one of the main "private" arms responsible for dolling out the billions in Obama "green energy" stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)
- 74. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)
- 75. Nancy Pelosi (U.S.
 Congresswoman; appears to be
 running political cover in the
 House for Facebook, McBee
 Strategic, Cooley Godward,
 Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)

- 76. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)
- 77. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook's 500shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP; Facebook used this exemption to sell \$3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to \$100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP which was the chief lobby ist for the National Venture Capital Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was...James W. Beyer, Accel Partners LLP; in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies' software code)
- 78. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)
- 79. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)
- 80. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)
- 81. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)
- 82. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; Russian oligarch; Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)
- 83. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick and Christopher P. King; Summers' sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)
- 84. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; Facebook director; CEO, Clarion Capital)
- 85. Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel)
- 86. Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; LinkedIn; Facebook director)
- 87. Richard Wolpert (Accel Partners)
- 88. Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology)
- 89. David Kilpatrick (Business Insider; "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins)
- 2ynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Sq uare/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin" feeder companies)
- 91. Tesla Motors (received \$465 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley's Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just

- before veteran Judge Joseph Farnan made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook's disasterous Markman Hearing)
- 92. Solyndra (received \$535 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)
- 93. BrightSource (received \$1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)
- 94. John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners China; coached his son on exploiting Western markets while he quietly built a venture capital business in China for the last 20 years; the real brain behind the Breyer exploitations
- 95. IDG Capital Partners (China) (founded by John P. Breyer, the father of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners; the current launderer of the tens of billions James W has fleeced from the U.S. market from the bailout, stimulus and the "pump & dump" Facebook IPO schemes)
- 96. Goldman Sachs (received US bailout funds; then invested with DST in Facebook private stock via Moscow; took Facebook public; locked out American investors from investing)
- 97. Morgan Stanley (received US bailout funds; took Facebook public; probably participated in oversees purchases of Facebook private stock before IPO)
- 98. State Street Corporation (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley; consolodating control of ATM banking networks internationally
- 99. JP Morgan Chase (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation)
- 100. Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO)
- 101. Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase, CEO)
- 102. Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase, General Counsel)
- 103. Rodgin Cohen (JP MorganChase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)
- 104. U.S. Securities & Exchange
 Commission (granted Fenwick
 & West's application on behalf of
 Facebook for an unpredented
 exemption to the 500 shareholder
 rule; opened the floodgated for
 Goldman Sachs and Morgan
 Stanley to make a private market
 in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock;
 facilitated the influx of billions of
 dollars from "dubious" sources
 associated with Russian oligarchs,
 Alisher Asmanov and Yuri
 Milner, and the Kremlin;
 Goldman Sachs is a partner with

- this Moscow company, Digital Sky Technologies, aka DST, aka Mail.ru)
- 105. Jeff Markey (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged \$1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and \$535 million for failed Solyndra)
- 106. Steve McBee (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged \$1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and \$535 million for failed Solyndra)
- 107. Michael F. McGowan (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)
- 108. Bryan J. Rose (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)
- 109. Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook's expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be "wild guessing" about the purpose of a Java "sessionstate" import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greeberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)
- 110. Toni Townes-Whitley (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama's 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI "donated" \$47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama's reelection donation sites to increase donations)
- 111. CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated \$47 million to Obama's reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obama's Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitely, is a Senior Vice President of CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)
- 112. Kathleen Sebelius (Obama's Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009 responsible for \$678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and \$47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)
- 113. Todd Y. Park (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services; chief architect of Health Care.gov; founder,

- director, CEO, Athenahealth, Inc.; founder, director, CEO, Castlight Health, Inc.)
- 114. Frank M. Sands, Sr. / Frank M. Sands, Jr. (Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud)
- 115. Robin "Handsome Reward" Yangong Li (CEO, Baidu, Inc. (ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies' social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick & West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his "Head of Patents;" Fenwick & West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2003 and had Leader's source code in their files.)
- 116. Parker Zhang ("Head of Patents" at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick & West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)
- 117. Penny S. Pritzker (Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over \$24 million in Facebook "dark pools" stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)
- 118. Rebecca M. Blank (Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook "dark pools" during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent Office, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader's patent without even identifying claims)
- 119. Mary L. Schapiro (Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission; holds 51 Facebook "dark pools" stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the "dark pools;" failed to disclose her substantial conflict of interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)

- 120. Robert C. Hancock (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder. director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics)
- 121. Jonathan Goodman (Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in Leader v. Facebook)
- 122. Trip Adler ("Co-Founder" of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious orgins story, like Zuckerberg's; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFIs library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)
- of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious orgins story, like Zuckerberg's; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI's library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

D. Facebook boy-

puppets:

- 124. Mark E. Zuckerberg
- 125. Chris Hughes
- 126. Dustin Moskowitz
- 127. Eduardo Saverin
- 128. Matthew R. Cohler
- 129. Elon Musk

E. Corruption Watch—Patent Office Judges:

- 130. Anderson, Gregg
- 131. Best, George
- 132. Bonilla, Jackie W.
- 133. Boucher, Patrick
- 134. Braden, Georgianna W.
- 135. Branch, Gene
- 136. Bisk, Jennifer Bresson
- 137. Bui, Hung H.
- 138. Busch, Justin
- 139. Clements, Matt
- 140. Crumbley, Kit
- 141. Droesch, Kristen
- 142. Elluru, Rama
- 143. Fitzpatrick, Michael
- 144. Gerstenblith, Bart A.
- 145. Giannetti, Thomas L.
- 146. Guest, Rae Lynn
- 147. Hastings, Karen M.
- 148. Hoff, Marc
- 149. Horner, Linda
- 150. Hughes, James R.
- 151. Hume, Larry
- 152. James, Housel
- 153. Jung, Hung J.
- 154. Kamholz, Scott
- 155. Katz, Deborah
- 156. Lucas, Jay
- 157. MacDonald, Allen R. (bio unavailable) – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
- 158. Mahaney, Alexandra
- 159. Martin, Brett
- 160. McKone, Dave
- 161. McNamara, Brian
- 162. Medley, Sally
- 163. Moore, Bryan
- 164. Moore, James T Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
- 165. Morgan, Jason V.
- 166. Morrison, John
- 167. Pak, Chung K.
- 168. Perry, Glenn J.
- 169. Petravick, Meredith C. (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) – Leader 3rd reexam judge
- 170. Pettigrew, Lynne
- 171. Praiss, Donna
- 172. Quinn, Miriam

- 173. Reimers, Annette
- 174. Saindon, William
- 175. Scanlon, Patrick
- 176. Siu, Stephen C. Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
- 177. Smith, James Donald
- 178. Smith, Neil
- 179. Snedden, Sheridan
- 180. Song, Daniel
- 181. Spahn, Gay Ann
- 182. Strauss, Mike
- 183. Timm, Catherine
- 184. White, Stacey
- 185. Zecher, Michael

Research Tip:

Type any name or subject in the Google search at the top of this webpage. That will show you any relevant links within the sites that we have been following and investigating in the Leader v.
Facebook case. Vigilance every one!
American democracy is at risk.

Author and Site attribution is sufficient. Simple template. Powered by Blogger.